An Experimental Study on the Impact of a Food Addiction Explanatory Model of Eating Behaviors on Stigma Related To Weight

Stephanie Montemarano*

Department of Psychology, Ryerson University, Canada

Abstract

This study explores the relationship between the explanatory model of food addiction and its impact on stigma related to weight. With rising concerns about obesity and its social implications, understanding how different narratives about eating behaviors affect societal attitudes is crucial. This research employs an experimental design to evaluate whether framing eating behaviors as an addiction influences perceptions of individuals with obesity and the associated stigma. The findings indicate that the food addiction model may reduce blame but also has the

perceived control over eating behaviors.

Participants

The study sample consisted of 300 adults, recruited through online platforms. The demographic characteristics of the sample, including age, gender, and body mass index (BMI), were recorded to ensure a diverse representation.

Procedure

Participants were first provided with a brief description of the study and gave informed consent. Those in the food addiction group read a passage explaining obesity in terms of food addiction, emphasizing biological predispositions and neurological responses to food. The behavioral model group read a passage explaining obesity as a result of poor eating habits and lack of exercise. The control group did not receive any explanatory passage.

After reading the passages, participants completed the following measures:

- **Attitudes Toward Obesity Scale**: Assesses negative attitudes and beliefs about individuals with obesity.
- **Blame Attribution Questionnaire**: Measures the extent to which participants attribute blame to individuals for their weight.
- **Perceived Control Questionnaire**: Evaluates participants' perceptions of how much control individuals with obesity have over their eating behaviors.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using ANOVA to compare stigma, blame, and perceived control across the three groups. Post-hoc tests were conducted to identify specific group differences. Additionally, regression analyses were performed to examine the relationship between demographic factors and stigma levels [3].

Results

Stigma Levels

Participants exposed to the food addiction explanatory model reported significantly lower levels of stigma compared to those in the behavioral model group ($p < 0.05$). However, the stigma levels in the food addiction group were still higher than those in the control group ($p < 0.05$), indicating that while the food addiction model reduced stigma; it did not eliminate it entirely.

Blame Attribution

The food addiction group attributed significantly less blame to individuals with obesity than the behavioral model group ($p < 0.01$). The control group attributed the least blame overall, but the difference between the control and food addiction groups was not statistically significant.

Perceived Control

Participants in the food addiction group perceived individuals with obesity as having less control over their eating behaviors compared to the behavioral model group ($p < 0.01$). This perception was correlated with lower levels of blame attribution but was also associated with a higher likelihood of endorsing negative stereotypes about self-control [4].

Discussion

The findings of this study suggest that framing eating behaviors as an addiction can reduce blame but may not be effective in fully addressing weight stigma. The reduction in blame is a positive outcome, as it may encourage more empathetic attitudes towards individuals with obesity. However, the persistence of negative stereotypes, particularly those related to self-control, indicates that the food addiction model alone may not be sufficient to combat stigma. The findings indicate that the food addiction model can lead to a reduction in the personal blame attributed to individuals with obesity, which is a positive outcome in the context of stigma reduction [5]. This model's focus on biological and neurological factors can shift the narrative away from personal failure, potentially fostering more empathy and support. However, while the food addiction model reduces blame, it does not entirely eliminate stigma. Participants exposed to this model still reported higher levels of stigma compared to the control group. This persistent stigma suggests that although the food addiction model addresses some aspects of negative attitudes, it may not fully resolve the complex social and psychological dimensions of weight-related stigma. The food addiction model's emphasis on the addictive nature of certain foods might inadvertently reinforce stereotypes about lack of self-control, which could contribute to negative perceptions of individuals with obesity [6]. The study also found that participants in the food addiction group perceived individuals with obesity as having less control over their eating behaviors compared to those exposed to the behavioral model. This finding highlights the dual nature of the food addiction model—it reduces blame but may simultaneously perpetuate certain stereotypes. The perception of diminished control can lead to a more deterministic view of obesity, which might limit the perceived ability of individuals to change their behaviors or seek effective treatments.

The implications of these findings for public health are significant. While the food addiction model offers a promising approach to reducing blame and fostering empathy, it must be implemented carefully to avoid reinforcing negative stereotypes [7]. Public health messages should balance the acknowledgment of biological factors with recognition of the complexity of obesity, including the role of lifestyle choices, environmental influences, and psychological factors. This balanced approach can help mitigate stigma while promoting a comprehensive understanding of obesity. Public health campaigns should also consider integrating multiple explanatory models to address the multifaceted nature of obesity. By combining insights from the food addiction model with other perspectives, such as behavioral and environmental factors, public health messages can provide a more nuanced and supportive framework for understanding and addressing obesity. Additionally, efforts to reduce stigma should focus on broader societal changes, including improving access to healthcare, promoting healthy environments, and challenging harmful stereotypes and discrimination [8]. This study has several limitations that should be considered. The use of self-reported measures may introduce biases, and the sample may not fully represent the general population. Future research should include diverse populations and employ a variety of methodological approaches to validate these findings. Longitudinal studies could also explore the long-term effects of different explanatory models on stigma and behavior change. Additionally, research should investigate how different models of eating behaviors influence actual treatment outcomes and behavioral changes. Understanding how these models affect individuals' engagement with healthcare and their willingness to adopt healthy behaviors can provide further insights into their practical implications [9].

Implications for Public Health Messaging

These results have important

implications for public health messaging. While the food addiction model may be useful in reducing personal blame, it should be presented carefully to avoid reinforcing harmful stereotypes. Public health campaigns may bene t from integrating multiple explanatory models that highlight the complexity of obesity without oversimplifying it as a matter of addiction or personal failure.

is study has several limitations, including its reliance on self-reported measures and a sample that may not be fully representative of the general population. Future research should explore the long-term e ects of di erent explanatory models on weight stigma and investigate how these models in uence actual behavior change and treatment outcomes [10].

e food addiction explanatory model has the potential to reduce blame and stigma associated with obesity, but it must be used with caution to avoid reinforcing negative stereotypes. A nuanced approach that incorporates multiple perspectives on eating behaviors may be more e ective in reducing weight-related stigma and improving public health outcomes. By continuing to explore and re ne approaches to stigma reduction, we can work towards more inclusive and e ective strategies for addressing the complex challenges associated with obesity.

None

None

References

1. Rim D, Wallace LA, Nabinger S, Persily A (2012) Reduction of exposure to ultrafne particles by kitchen exhaust hoods: The efects of exhaust fow rates, particle size, and burner position. Sci Total Environ. 432: 350-56.
2. Singer BC, Pass RZ, Delp WW, Lorenzetti DM, Maddalena RL (2017) Pollutant concentrations and emission rates from natural gas cooking burners without and with range hood exhaust in nine California homes. Build Environ. 43:3235–42.
3. Kim H, Kang K, Kim T (2018) Measurement of particulate matter (PM2.5) and health risk assessment of cooking-generated particles in the kitchen and living rooms of apartment houses. Sustainability 10: 843.
4. Liu Q, Son YJ, Li L, Wood N, Senerat AM, et al. (2022) Healthy home interventions: Distribution of PM2.5 emitted during cooking in residential settings. Build Environ 207: 108448.
5. O'Leary C, Jones B, Hall I (2018) An intervention study of PM2.5 concentrations measured in domestic kitchens. AIVC 2018: Smart Ventilation for Buildings. At: Antibes Juan-les-Pins, France.
6. O'Leary C, De Kluizenaar Y, Jacobs P, Borsboom W, Hall I, et al. (2019) Investigating measurements of fne particle (PM2.5) emissions from the cooking of meals and mitigating exposure using a cooker hood. Indoor Air 29(3): 423-438.
7. Jacobs P, Cornelissen E (2017) E f ciency of recirculation hoods with regard to PM2.5 and NO2. Healthy Buildings 2017 Europe. At: Lublin, Poland.
8. He C, Morawska L, Hitchins J, Gilbert D (2004) Contribution from indoor sources to particle number and massconcentrations in residential houses. Atmos Environ 38(21): 3405-3415.
9. Dobbin NA, Sun L, Wallace L, Kulka R, You H, et al. (2018) The benef t of kitchen exhaust fan use after cooking - An experimental assessment. Build Environ 135: 286-296.
10. Goldberg M, Burnett R, Bailar J, Brook J, Bonvalot Y, et al. (2001) The association between daily mortality and ambient air particle pollution in Montreal, Quebec 1. Nonaccidental mortality. Environ Res 86: 12-25.