

life practice [5]. Accordingly, a multiple approach to treatment within one study is needed to tailor the treatment to the patient's needs. And perhaps more importantly is the notion of practitioner-patient healing connection during a homeopathic evaluation. It is recognized that the practitioner plays an intricate and important role in how the patient responds to a homeopathic remedy [3]. Collectively, these factors point toward incompatibility between homeopathic remedies and RCT. e homeopathic treatments simply do not t well within the RCT frame.

Having considered these ndings related to the homeopathic approach to treatment, one would wonder if this modality is really e ective. From the point of view of the scienti c methods, there are a great number of obstacles that prevent testing this approach in a similar way a new pharmaceutical product would be tested. However, since the clinical aim is to treat one person at a time, homeopathic modalities under these given frameworks may have the potential to "heal" those who truly believe in their power.

1. Barnes PM, Powell-Griner E, McFann K, Nahin RL (2004) Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults: United States, 2002. *Adv Data* 343:1-19.
2. O L O D]] R 6 5 X V V H O O 1 (U Q V W ((I ç F D F \ R I cancer treatment. *Eur J Cancer* 42: 282-289.
3. Baumgartner S (2005) Reproductions and reproducibility in homeopathy: dogma or tool? *J Altern Complement Med* 11: 771-772.
4. Friese KH, Kruse S, Ludtke R, Moeller H (1997) The homoeopathic treatment of otitis media in children--comparisons with conventional therapy. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 35: 296-301.
5. Lewith G, Barlow F, Eyles C, Flower A, Hall S, et al. (2009) The Context and Meaning of Placebos for Complementary Medicine. *Forsch Komplementmed* 16: 404-412.