

Clinical Course and Management of Neurologic Adverse Events Linked to Immune Checkpoint Therapy

Ester Inaebnit*

Department of Psychiatry, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Neurologic adverse events (NAEs) associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have emerged as significant complications in cancer immunotherapy. While ICIs have revolutionized the treatment of various malignancies, their role in eliciting immune-related adverse events, particularly affecting the nervous system, presents challenges in clinical management. NAEs can manifest as peripheral neuropathies, encephalitis, myasthenia gravis, and other neurologic disorders, often occurring weeks to months after treatment initiation. Early recognition and prompt intervention are crucial for mitigating potential long-term morbidity. This review examines the clinical presentation, incidence, risk factors, diagnostic evaluation, and management strategies for neurologic adverse events linked to ICIs. Emphasizing a multidisciplinary approach, we highlight the importance of tailored treatment plans that balance the therapeutic benefits of ICIs with the risks of neurologic complications. As research progresses, a deeper understanding of these events will enhance patient care and outcomes in the context of cancer immunotherapy [1].

The advent of immune checkpoint therapy has significantly improved survival rates in various malignancies, including melanoma, lung cancer, and renal cell carcinoma. Despite their therapeutic benefits, ICIs can induce a spectrum of immune-related adverse events (irAEs), particularly affecting the neurologic system. Understanding the clinical course and management of these events is essential for optimizing patient outcomes.

Key Words: Immune checkpoint inhibitors, neurologic adverse events, immunotherapy, cancer treatment.

Neurologic irAEs can manifest in several forms, including:

- **Peripheral neuropathy:** Characterized by numbness, tingling, and weakness in the hands and feet.
- **Encephalitis:** Inflammation of the brain tissue, leading to confusion, seizures, and focal neurological deficits.
- **Myasthenia gravis:** A neuromuscular junction disorder causing muscle weakness and fatigue.

Management of neurologic irAEs typically involves a multidisciplinary approach:

1. **Corticosteroids:** High-dose corticosteroids are the first-line treatment for moderate to severe neurologic irAEs.

2. **Supportive care:** Symptomatic management of specific neurologic complications, such as anticholinergics for autonomic dysfunction or antiepileptics for seizures.

The incidence of neurologic irAEs varies, with some studies suggesting rates between 1% and 7%. Risk factors may include:

- Pre-existing autoimmune conditions
- Combination therapy with other immunotherapeutics
- Specific ICI classes (e.g., PD-1/PD-L1 vs. CTLA-4 inhibitors)

Conclusion

The clinical course of neurologic adverse events can be variable, with onset often occurring weeks to months after initiating therapy. Symptoms may evolve rapidly, necessitating prompt assessment and intervention. Early identification is crucial, as neurologic complications

can lead to significant morbidity and impact cancer treatment continuity.

Declaration

A comprehensive diagnostic approach is essential. Recommended evaluations include:

- **Electromyography (EMG) and Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS):** Detailed assessment of motor and sensory function.
- **Imaging:** MRI and CT scans can help rule out structural causes.
- **Lumbar Puncture:** Cerebrospinal fluid analysis is vital for diagnosing encephalitis or meningitis.
- **Electrocardiogram (ECG) and Echocardiography:** These can confirm neuropathies and myasthenia gravis.

References

Management of neurologic irAEs typically involves a multidisciplinary approach:

1. **Corticosteroids:** High-dose corticosteroids are the first-line treatment for moderate to severe neurologic irAEs. The dosing regimen may start at 1-2 mg/kg/day, tapering based on clinical response [3-7].
2. **Supportive care:** Symptomatic management of specific neurologic complications, such as anticholinergics for autonomic dysfunction or antiepileptics for seizures.

*Corresponding author: Ester Inaebnit, Department of Psychiatry, University College London, London, United Kingdom, E-mail: Inaebnit@gmail.com

Received: 01-May-2024, Manuscript No. jcen-24-148920; Editor assigned: 03-May-2024, Pre QC-No. jcen-24-148920 (PQ); Reviewed: 17-May-2024, QC No. jcen-24-148920; Revised: 24-May-2024, Manuscript No. jcen-24-148920 (R); Published: 31-May-2024, DOI: 10.4172/jcen.1000241

Citation: Ester I (2024) Clinical Course and Management of Neurologic Adverse Events Linked to Immune Checkpoint Therapy. J Clin Exp Neuroimmunol, 9: 241.

Copyright: © 2024 Ester I. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

For refractory cases, additional immunosuppressive therapies may be necessary. Options include:

- Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) (Class G)
- Plasma exchange
- B-cell depleting agents (e.g., mycophenolate mofetil, rituximab)

3. Management

Symptomatic management is crucial, particularly for neuropathic pain and muscle weakness. Referral to neurology and rehabilitation services may enhance recovery.

Prognosis

The prognosis for patients experiencing neurologic irAEs varies widely based on the severity of symptoms and the timeliness of intervention. Early recognition and appropriate management can lead to favorable outcomes, allowing for the continuation of oncologic therapy when possible.

Conclusion

Neurologic adverse events associated with immune checkpoint therapy represent a complex and significant challenge in the management of patients undergoing cancer treatment. These events can vary widely in presentation and severity, necessitating prompt recognition and intervention to minimize morbidity. Early diagnosis, utilizing a multidisciplinary approach, is crucial for effective management, often

involving corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive therapies. As our understanding of the mechanisms underlying these neurologic complications continues to evolve, ongoing research is essential to refine management strategies and improve patient outcomes. Ultimately, balancing the benefits of immune checkpoint inhibitors with the risks of neurologic irAEs is vital in optimizing cancer care and enhancing the quality of life for patients. Continued education and awareness among healthcare providers will be key in addressing these adverse events effectively.

References

1. Hattwick MAW, Weiss TT, Stechschulte CJ (1972) Recovery from rabies. *Annals Internal Med* 76: 931-942.
2. Porras C, Barboza JJ, Fuenzalida E, Adaros HL, Oviedo AM, et al. (1976) Recovery from rabies in man. *Ann Intern Med* 85: 44-48.
3. Tillotson JR, Axelrod D, Lyman DO (1977) Rabies in a laboratory worker - New York. *Morbidity Mortality Weekly Rep* 26: 1830-1834.
4. Alvarez L, Fajardo R, Lopez E, Pedroza R, Hemachudha T, et al. (1994) Partial recovery from rabies in a nine-year-old boy. *Pediatric Infect Dis J* 13: 1154-1155.
5. Madhusudana SN, Nagaraj D, Uday M, Ratnavalli E, Verendra Kumar M (2002) Partial recovery from rabies in a six-year old girl. *Int J Infect Dis* 6: 85-86.
6. Willoughby RE, Tieves KS, Hofman GM, Ghanayem NS, Amlie-Lefond CM, et al. (2005) Survival after treatment of rabies with induction of coma. *N Engl J Med* 352: 2508-2514.
7. Weyer J, Msimang-Dermaux V, Paweska JT, Roux KL, Govender P, et al. (2016) A case of human survival of rabies, South Africa. *S Afr J Infect Dis* 31: 66-68.