Comparing Plantarflexor Power and Function using Carbon Fiber Versus Traditional Thermoplastic Ankle Foot Orthoses: Case Series

Mary K Hastings[1*], Michael M Dailey[2], Dequan Zou[1], David R Sinacore[1] and Michael Mueller[1]

[1] *Program in Physical Therapy, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA 63108*

[2] *Orthotic & Prosthetic Design, St. Louis, Missouri, USA 63110*

[*] **Corresponding author:** Mary K Hastings, Program in Physical Therapy, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, Tel: +1(314)286-1433; Fax: +1(314)286 1410; Email: hastingsmk@wustl.edu

Received date: Mar 3, 2015; **Accepted date:** May 29, 2015; **Published date:** , 2015

Abstract

Background: Thermoplastic ankle foot orthoses (TAFO) control the foot during swing and initial contact of walking. Carbon fiber AFOs (CAFO) has the added ability to store and return energy at push off. The purpose of this report is to determine if plantarflexor power and function can be improved with a CAFO compared to a TAFO and identify factors that may be related to plantarflexor power improvement in two adults with reduced ankle muscle performance.

Case Descriptions: Two participants with reduced ankle muscle performance completed a gait analysis and the 6 minute walk (6MW) test wearing each AFO. Physical function was higher in Participant 1 compared to Participant 2 as measured by the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure and walking speed.

Outcomes: Participant 1's 6MW distance and plantarflexor power improved wearing the CAFO compared to the TAFO (6MW distance: TAFO=427 m, CAFO=553 m and Plantarflexor power: TAFO=1.16 W/kg, CAFO=1.56 W/kg). Participant 2 showed similar outcomes in both AFO conditions (6MW distance: TAFO=290 m, CAFO=276 m and plantarflexor power: TAFO=0.89 W/kg, CAFO=0.60 W/kg).

Discussion: A CAFO increased walking speed and plantarflexor power compared to a TAFO in a person with a relatively high level of physical function but not in a person with a relatively low level of physical function. These preliminary results suggest a sufficiently high level of physical function is required to "engage" the CAFO and benefit from its energy storing capabilities.

Keywords: Kinetics; Orthotic devices; Braces; Power; AFO; Ankle foot orthosis.

Ankle muscle performance is affected in 10-20% of those who have had a stroke [1] and ankle muscle performance impairment is a common residual from trauma, multiple sclerosis [2] and neurological injury and illness [3-5]. Loss of ankle muscle performance results in an inefficient walking pattern [6] and increases the risk of falling [1,7]. Loss of ankle dorsiflexor muscle performance results in a foot drop during the swing phase of walking and at initial contact with the ground, increasing the risk of falls as a consequence of a functionally longer leg. Loss of ankle plantarflexor muscle performance results in poorly controlled tibial progression over the planted foot during stance and lack of push off (ankle power) at the end of stance. Overall, in those with impaired ankle function, walking speed is slower, step length is decreased, and ability to perform dynamic activities often required in daily life is limited (e.g. fast walking or jogging to cross the street safely, walking on uneven surfaces and up hills) [6,8].

Traditional thermoplastic (polypropylene) ankle foot orthoses (TAFO) are often prescribed to prevent foot drop and provide tibial control during walking. Improved limb stability results in increased walking speed and step length [9-11]. However, the TAFO reduces the ability to use residual active plantarflexor muscle power and the material used in fabrication has poor energy storing and return capabilities. The result is limited ankle plantarflexor power production, limiting both walking speed and higher level activities such as running and climbing hills and stairs [10,12].

In contrast, carbon fiber is a lightweight material that is able to store and return energy and has been incorporated into AFOs (CAFO). In children, the CAFO improved ankle plantarflexor power by 15-97%, [10,13,14] increased walking speed by 7-30%, [13,15] and increased stride length by 9% [13] as compared to a TAFO. In adults, use of a CAFO, b" ʿ ɔ̣ pɔplante stri! speean by (CAFO,10av a ᴀᴰяt ǁid

[19]. An important next step in CAFO prescription is to determine if a similar system can identify patients who can successfully engage the CAFO, increase plantarflexor power production, and improve their physical function.

K-Level 0	No potential/ability to transfer safely with or without assistance. Prosthesis doesn’t enhance quality of life or mobility.
K-Level 1	Potential/ability to ambulate or transfer with prosthesis in level surfaces at a fixed cadence. Household ambulatory.
K-Level 2	Potential/ability for ambulation, can transverse low-level environmental barriers. Limited community ambulatory.

specificity for the TAFO and CAFO. The distance walked in six minutes [25], was measured in both the TAFOs and CAFOs.

An 8-camera video-based motion capture system (Vicon, Los Angeles, CA) and force platform (Bertec K80301, Bertec Corporation, Columbus, Ohio) were used to acquire three-dimensional lower extremity spatiotemporal, kinetic and kinematic data. Participants walked at a self-selected speed in their shoes, TAFOs, and CAFOs. Reflective markers were attached as described previously by Hastings et al. [26] for the shank and foot although the foot markers were attached to the shoe.

Five walking trials were collected. The three trials with the highest plantarflexor power were chosen and the variables of interest for these three trials were averaged (i.e. peak ankle dorsiflexion motion, peak individuals to optimally engage the orthosis to store and release the energy, enhancing power return and function.

	Peak Ankle Power (W/kg)			Ankle Moment (Nm/kg)			Peak Ankle Dorsiflexion (degrees)			Energy Stored (W/kg)			Energy Return (W/kg)			Step Length (m)		
Participant	**Shoe Only**	**TAFO**	**CAFO**	**Shoe Only**	**TAFO**	**CAFO**	**Shoe Only**	**TAFO**	**CAFO**	**Shoe Only**	**TAFO**	**CAFO**	**Shoe Only**	**TAFO**	**CAFO**	**Shoe Only**	**TAFO**	**CAFO**
1	0.9 (0.0)	1.2 (0.2)	1.6 (0.1)	-8.6 (0.2)	6.2 (0.2)	0.71 (0.02)	30 (1)	27 (1)	22 (0)	-8.6 (0.2)	-14.1 (0.2)	-26.8 (0.6)	6.2 (0.2)	8.8 (0.3)	9.9 (0.5)	0.71 (0.02)	0.69 (0.03)	0.80 (0.02)
2	1.2 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	0.6 (0.11)	-13.6 (0.3)	6.2 (0.3)	0.47 (0.06)	16 (1)	12 (1)	17 (0)	-13.8 (0.3)	-15.4 (0.3)	-10.2 (0.2)	6.2 (0.3)	6.5 (0.2)	2.9 (0.1)	0.47 (0.06)	0.56 (0.02)	0.53 (0.02)

Table 2: Walking kinematics and kinetics. Values are given as the mean (standard deviation). TAFO: Traditional Ankle Foot Orthosis; CAFO: Carbon Fiber Ankle Foot Orthosis.

Plantarflexor power during walking with the CAFO increased 34% compared to the TAFO and 80% compared to the shoe only condition for participant 1. In contrast plantarflexor power was not improved with use of the CAFO for participant 2. An increase in plantarflexor power between 15-97% has been reported with the use of a CAFO compared to a plastic or hinged orthosis in children [10,13,14] Very few reports have documented adult use of the CAFO and currently there is no support for improved plantarflexor power in adults. Bregman et al. [17] examine plantarflexor power during walking in a CAFO in a group of adults who had a stroke. The average plantarflexor power decreased 31% during walking in the CAFO compared to a no orthosis condition. Perhaps these individuals, like participant 2, were unable to adequately engage the CAFO.

There are a number of participant and orthosis factors that may work together to determine the plantarflexor power produced with use of the CAFO. In order to engage the orthosis, the strut of the orthosis must bend over the foot plate component, measured as peak dorsiflexion range of motion. The peak dorsiflexion range of motion during walking in the CAFO was 22° for participant 1 and 17° for participant 2. This might indicate a critical value of orthosis deflection required for plantarflexor power production. This hypothesis is supported by Bregman et al. [17] who report no increase in plantarflexor power associated with 17° of dorsiflexion and Wolf et al. [14] who reported an increase in power with 21° of dorsiflexion. However, both Desloovere [10] and Bartonek [13] report an increase in plantarflexor power with peak dorsiflexor values below 20°. What was not measured in our study or others is the total deflection, from the initial position of the orthosis which is often in slight plantarflexion to maximum dorsiflexion, and would be most clearly related to energy storage and thus energy return. Future work must include a more comprehensive evaluation of total orthosis deflection during walking in order to understand and maximize plantarflexor power return.

Walking speed is likely a critical factor in identifying those adults that are capable of enhanced plantarflexor power with use of a CAFO. Walking speed is directly related to plantarflexor power [8]. Participant 1 walked faster (1.09 m/s) than Participant 2 during kinetic data collection. However, participant 1's walking speed was only slightly faster than the walking speed of 1.04 m/s in the adult study that found no improvement in plantarflexor power with a CAFO [17]. Average walking speeds of 1.21 [10] and 1.22 m/s [15] were reported for the studies measuring improved plantarflexor power with a CAFO in children. Future work must examine a variety of walking speeds and determine its contribution to plantarflexion power production with a CAFO.

The self-report of physical function using the FAAM, together with walking speed, could be a useful tool in characterizing a person's level of function and determining potential for enhanced plantarflexor power with use of the CAFO. Successful power production with the CAFO was association with FAAM scores indicating a high level of function with limitations related to activities such as quick starting and stopping and lateral movements (Participant 1: ADL=74% and Sport=44%). The low FAAM scores reported by Participant 2 (ADL=51% and Sport=1%) indicate a high level of disability with limited or no ability to complete community activities like walking up and down hills, going up and down stairs, walking on uneven surfaces, or walking 15 minutes or greater. Although additional research is required, we hypothesize that ability to produce ankle power using a CAFO can be predicted using the K-Level criterion and suspect that successful CAFO use will be associated with a K3 level or higher.

The mechanical efficiency of the CAFO, defined as the percentage of energy returned compared to stored, was 30 to 37%. We do not know the maximum potential efficiency of the orthosis but believe there are at least two important areas to explore in the goal of improving plantarflexion power at push off. The first is to match orthosis stiffness to the size and activity level of the user. An orthosis that is too stiff will be difficult to engage, while an orthosis that is too flexible will not store as much energy and is likely to break [27]. With additional research a simple algorithm can be developed that assists the orthotist in determining the number of carbon fiber layers and appropriate stiffness for the individual. The second area is to assess if physical therapy intervention can assist the user in learning to engage the orthosis through increasing dorsiflexion while keeping the hip and knee extended and timing the energy release to optimize plantarflexor power return at push off.

Of critical importance to patients, orthotists, and physical therapists is that these results suggest a translation of improved plantarflexor power to improved activities of daily living. Not only did participant 1 walk faster with the CAFO but also reported improved ability to walk inside and outside of the house and perform daily activities and work responsibility. Previous work in this area has not included outcome measures related to function, an important step in justifying and defining use of CAFOs in a health care economy striving for prudent use of health care resources. Finally, although plantarflexor power production is important, there are likely other indications and benefits of CAFOs (i.e. knee hyperextension not controlled by a TAFO). Future