Comparison of Hallux Valgus Deformity Evaluation on Printed Versus Digital X-Rays

Atoun Ehud[1], **Palmanovich Ezequiel**[2], **Feldbrin Zeev**[3], **Debi Ronen**[1], **Guy Fridman**[1] **and Nyska Meir**[2]

1

month. Measurements on printed X rays were made with classic goniometer while digital X-ray measurements were performed using

References

1. Adams PF, Benson V (1991). Current estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 1990. Vital Health Stat 10 181:181-212.
2. Coughlin MJ (1984) Hallux valgus. Causes, evaluation, and treatment. Postgrad Med 75: 174-178, 183, 186-187.
3. Coughlin MJ (1996) Hallux valgus. J Bone Joint Surg Am 78: 932-966.
4. Gould N SW, Ashikaga T (1980) Epidemiological survey of foot problems in the continental United States: 1978-1979. Foot Ankle Jul 1: 8-10.
5. **Gould N, Schneider W, Ashikaga T (1993) Foot problems in the US. T e 1990 National Health Interview Survey. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 83: 475-483**.
6. Nyska M (2001) Principles of frst metatarsal osteotomies. Foot Ankle Clin 6: 399-408.
7. Breant CMTR, Huang HK (1993) Interfacing aspects between the picture archiving communications systems, radiology information systems, and hospital information systems. J Digit Imaging 6: 88-94.
8. Ratib O, Ligier Y, Scherrer JR (1994) Digital image management and communication in medicine. Comput Med Imaging Graph 18: 73-84.
9. Piqué-Vidal C, Maled-García I, Arabi-Moreno J, Vila J (2006) Radiographic angles in hallux valgus: di ferences between measurements made manually and with a computerized program. Foot Ankle Int 27: 175-180.
10. Panchbhavi VK, Trevino S (2004) Comparison between manual and computer-assisted measurements of hallux valgus parameters. Foot Ankle Int 25: 708-711.