

Open Access

Considerations for the Deactivation of the Stimulation Function of Pacemakers and Defibrillators in End Stage Disease

Nägele Herbert^{1*}, Groene E¹, Stierle D¹, Nägele MP²

¹Department of Heart Failure and Device Therapy, Albertinen-Krankenhaus Heart Center / Cardiology, Deutschland/Germany ²University Hospital Zürich, Rämistr, Switzerland

Abstract

Background: The deactivation of anti-tachycardia functions of implantable cardiac devices such as pacemakers

Methods: To collect information about possible consequences of the deactivation of stimulation we retrospectively

Results:

Discussion:

Citation: Herbert N, Groene E, Stierle D, Nägele MP (2021) Considerations for the Deactivation of the Stimulation Function of Pacemakers and

Results

Table 1 showed that device patients with routine follow-up and later deceased patients are comparable for age at implantation (76.6 \pm 9.4 vs 74 \pm 7.7) and sex (females 26% vs 26%). e duration of device implants was signi cantly shorter in the later deceased patients compared to routine follow-up (4.6+-3.5 vs 6.1+-5 years, p=0.00009).

Table 2 showed that patients were divided in three groups: group A) no expected sequelae from deactivation (spontaneous heart rate >50/ min, 51.5%), group B) expected reduced quality of life (spontaneous heart rate 30-50 and / or presence of CRT; 34.7%) and group C) expected timely death (spontaneous heart rate<30; 13.8%). Much lesser patients of group C had ESD than in group A or B (p<0.0001, Table 1). As expected group C) patients had no measurable escape rhythm and accordingly more AV blocks were present. Resynchronization function was important in group B) patients (with expected deterioration).

Only 9 of the 119 (7,5%) device patients deceased later due to terminal illnesses – as to our knowledge - requested for deactivation of tachycardia functions. Antibradycardia functions were never deactivated, also not in the lady under discussion in the background

Page 2 of 3

paragraph. She later died peacefully in our hospice department. A discussion with our palliative team covering the northwest of the Hamburg, Germany region revealed that deactivation of pacing function was only sporadically requested in the last 10 years.

Our use of the broader, nuanced de nition of palliative care has several consequences. First, according to this de nition, health care may sometimes be simultaneously curative and palliative. Second, the broader de nition a rms that palliative care is not the exclusive purview of palliative care specialists. All health care providers, including those focused on curative care, can and do frequently provide palliative care. On the other hand, it is important that providers who are not palliative care specialists as well as the general public are aware of the unique competencies that palliative care specialists bene cially provide c3tivnceticl575d, alsers, care is not restricted to hospitals; rather, palliative care is provided in a broad range of venues, including both clinical and community settings.

e uses of palliative care in diverse settings can be understood by considering the broad scope of this special form of care.

Discussion

According to our results only a minority of device patients (13.8%) are "truly" pacemaker dependent and were expected to die shortly a er deactivation of stimulation (Table 2; Group C). A third of patients (34.7%) may survive, but with a reduced quality of life either due to insu cient heart rate or loss of CRT (Table 2; Group B). For more than a half of the patient's, a deactivation of antibradycardia - stimulation seems to be irrelevant (Table 2; Group A). Whether group C patients really come to death within minutes could not be securely deduced from our data. According to a study of Lelakowski et al. forcing spontaneous heart rate for a longer time, this cohort may comprise only a minority of 2-3% [13]. is would t to the data of Buchhalter et al. [14] where out of 32 patients who underwent deactivation of bradycardia therapy only 4% were pacemaker dependent. erefore. most patients will survive stimulation deactivation of devices due to a su cient spontaneous basal heart rate, but this for the cost of a Page 3 of 3