



---

**\*Corresponding author:** Anna Sidor, Department of Psychosomatic Cooperation Research and Family Therapy, Heidelberg University Hospital, Bergheimerstr. 54, 69115, Heidelberg, Germany, Tel: 0049/06221-568365; E-mail: [anna.sidor@med.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:anna.sidor@med.uni-heidelberg.de)

**Received** September 26, 2013; **Accepted** November 08, 2013; **Published** November 18, 2013

**Citation:** Sidor A, Fischer C, Cierpka M (2013) Early Regulatory Problems in Infancy and Psychopathological Symptoms at 24 Months: A Longitudinal Study in a High-risk Sample. J Child Adolesc Behav 1: 116. doi:[10.4172/2375-4494.1000116](https://doi.org/10.4172/2375-4494.1000116)

**Copyright:**

fall asleep on their own. The estimated prevalence of early sleeping disorders in the first two years of life ranges between 10 and 30% [6,10].

Feeding problems are also frequently temporary disorders that occur during weaning and introduction of puréed and solid food to the diet. According to the guidelines of the German Association for Child and Youth Psychiatry, a feeding disorder is said to be present when feeding is perceived by the parents as stressful, a meal requires more than 45 minutes, and/or the interval between meals is less than 2 hours [3]. The parent-child interaction during feeding is also strained. Due to fear of malnutrition, parents put pressure on the child, contributing to the perpetuation of feeding problems. Since meals in such cases require a great deal of time, the child is fed very frequently, and even during sleep, which results in infants/toddlers lacking hunger as a motivation to eat [6]. Zero to three [1], a diagnostic system that classifies psychopathological pictures in the first three years of life, distinguished six diagnostic subtypes of feeding disorders, defined by





load; 21-40: small to moderate load; 41-60: middle load; 61-80: high load; 81-100: extremely high load. The HBS shows an excellent inter-rater reliability within a homogeneous professional group (psychology students) ( $ICC=0.92$ ). As regards construct validity, significant correlations were found with both maternal sensitivity (CARE Index) ( $r=-0.20$ ;  $p=0.001$ ) and maternal distress (PSI) ( $r=0.14$ ,  $p=0.05$ ). In terms of the predictive validity, the risk of taking the child into care in case of high stress in the HBS was increased by 4.5 times (ibid.)

## U

For recruitment of high-risk families, we approached institutions that potentially had contact with pregnant women and mothers (with newborn children) burdened by psychosocial risk factors. We contacted maternity clinics, welfare offices, pregnancy counseling services, midwife practices, pediatricians, family support institutions, counseling centers, etc. in Baden-Württemberg, Rheinland-Pfalz and Hessen. The burdened families in the comparison group lived beyond in the KfdN intervention areas. Furthermore, the comparison group families were not involved in family support programs that could be compared with the intervention of the family midwives in the project area ("interventions as usual"). Families were asked to participate through cooperating research partners. Following identification of a potential family, we sent relevant contact details to the members of the study group.

As soon as the consent to contact a family was received from the cooperating institutions, the family was contacted by a student assistant, specially trained for family contact and data gathering. The participating mothers were informed about the study and data protection at the first appointment in their personal households. The families agreed to the data protection terms and conditions and signed

the participation consent form. Following this, the stress levels were assessed (HBS, Measurement Instruments) (T0). If all the conditions for participation were met (a sufficiently high stress level-i.e. a HBS value over 20 and adequate language proficiency), the families were contacted again at the first measurement point (T1: child's age five months) and a set of surveys, including the SFS and PSI-SF was completed.

The next time each family was contacted was around the child's second birthday. Appointments were sought by telephone for the fourth measurement point (T4), which was conducted in the same way as the first (T1). At Time 4, parents completed a set of surveys including the CBCL, ADS and PSI.

The varying numbers of test participants within the variables presented are the result of varying response rates.

## A

For the multivariate prediction of externalised and internalised psychic disturbances and concurrent sleeping problems at T4, regulatory disorder at T1, PSI-scales at T4 and ADS at T4 were entered step by step into a hierarchical regression equation (method enter) intended to account for different contributions to the variance explanation ( $R^2$  Change). Potential confounding variables, such as maternal education level, household income, premature birth, infant's gender and belonging to the group (IG vs. CG), were included in the model and fitted in the equation.

The potential differences between two groups, IG and CG, regarding continuous variables were tested with the Mann-Whitney-U-Test owing to the fulfillment of the normal distribution requirement (Kolmogorov-Smirnov-Test significant, Table 3).

Additionally, for overview of associations between parameters at T4 (CBCL, ADS, PSI), as well as for testing potential multi-collinearity among independent variables, Spearman's rank correlations were computed. For all calculations, a significance level of 0.05 was determined (two-tailed). The statistical analysis of the data was conducted using the statistics program SPSS for Windows, Version 19.0.

## **R u**

## **D**

Table 3 shows descriptive statistics for all variables applied. No differences between two subgroups, intervention and comparison were found.

## **C w CBCL, AD , I<sub>T</sub> 4**

Table 4 shows highly significant positive rho correlations between all the tested parameters at T4.  $p < 0.05$  and  $p < 0.001$  were found.

at the fourth measurement point ( $R^2=0.55$ ; corrected  $R^2=0.49$ ;  $F=9.92$ ;  $p=0.000$ ) (Table 5).

The SFS scale for “crying, whining and sleep problems” at T1 was a highly significant predictor ( $Beta=0.40$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), contributing to 15% of the variance of the children’s internalised problems at T4 ( $R^2$  Change=0.15,  $p=0.000$ ). The inclusion of maternal stress parameter at T4 as “parental distress” (PSI) ( $R^2$  Change=0.12,  $Beta=0.37$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), “dysfunctional parent-child-interaction” (PSI) ( $R^2$  Change=0.05,  $Beta=0.30$ ,  $p=0.01$ ) and maternal assessment of child as “difficult” (PSI) ( $R^2$  Change=0.05,  $Beta=0.41$ ,  $p=0.008$ ), significantly improved the explanatory power of the model. Separate contribution of maternal depressive symptoms at T4 was very small and statistically marginal ( $R^2$  Change=0.02,  $Beta=0.22$ ,  $p=0.053$ ). Feeding problems at T1, as well as most of the sociodemographic variables, were not significant. Only low household income was a high significant predictor of internalized problems ( $Beta=-0.26$ ,  $p=0.009$ ). The final model explains 44% of the variance in the children’s internalized problems at the fourth measurement point ( $R^2=0.51$ ; corrected  $R^2=0.44$ ;  $F=7.71$ ;  $p=0.000$ ) (Table 6).

The SFS scale for “crying, whining and sleep problems” at T1 explained 11% of variance of concurrent sleeping problems at two years of age (CBCL) ( $R^2$  Change=0.11,  $Beta=0.33$ ,  $p=0.001$ ,  $F=2.82$ ,  $p=0.014$ ) after controlling for sociodemographic variables, child variables, PSI scales and ADS scale. All other variables were not significant and made no independent contribution to concurrent sleeping problems.

The aim of this study was to examine the extent to which regulatory disorders in infants at five months account for their psychic disturbances at 24 months. In line with other research our findings indicate an association between early regulatory disorders and subsequent children’s psychic problems [8,15,16,19,20]. Our results show a highly statistically significant association between crying, whining and sleeping disorders at five months, and one and a half years later, for both externalized and internalized problems, controlling for the net income per household, mother’s educational level, prematurity and the child’s gender, maternal distress and depressive symptoms, dysfunctional mother-child interaction and the mother’s perception of her child being “difficult”. In 24-month-old children, 17% of the variance in the children’s externalized problems and 15% of the variance in the children’s internalized problems were explained by crying and sleep problems during the 5<sup>th</sup> month.

Overall, in previous research, the negative influence of the early regulatory disorders did not seem to be strong [8,12]. For instance, in a cohort study, Wake et al. [12] found that persistent sleeping disorders in the first year account for only 1.4% of the variance of CBCL at two years. In their meta-analysis, Hemmi et al. [20] report a medium effect of persistent excessive crying on both externalized ( $d=0.51$ ) and internalized problems ( $d=0.50$ ).

In our study, however, the association between early regulatory disorders and psychological/behavioral problems at two years was quite pronounced. This could speak for a phenomenon specific to our socioeconomically disadvantaged sample. Mothers in a high-risk population are likely to be more challenged by difficulties with their children and have fewer resources, such as social support or



access to counseling services, in comparison to their more fortunate counterparts. This, in turn, may contribute to the broadening of the children's initial regulatory problems. Children born into high-risk families appear to be more vulnerable to further stressors and maladaptive outcomes [25,35]. Similarly, Laucht et al. [19] found the highest rate of mental problems among children who had suffered multiple regulatory disorders as infants, and who were also subjected to high psychosocial risks.

It remains unclear why feeding problems at five months show no influence on children's externalised or internalised symptoms at two years. Feeding disturbances in the 5th month, frequently observed during the introduction of solid food, are fairly common and most likely to be temporary [6,36]. It is possible that the feeding difficulties observed during the 5th month are merely a transitive phenomenon with no clinical implications for the child's further development. In another study on this sample, we found as well no impact of feeding problems at five months on the child's development at 12 months [37].

The sociodemographic control variables barely explain the psychic problems suffered by the children. The fact that the high-risk sample belongs to a rather low socioeconomic class, presumably limited the variance. The negative impact of the main internaliser (problems) on the child's internalisation of problems is not significant.





18. Schmid G, Schreier A, Meyer R, Wolke D (2010) A prospective study on the persistence of infant crying, sleeping and feeding problems and preschool behaviour. *Acta Paediatr* 99: 286-290.
19. Laucht M, Schmidt MH, Esser G (2004) Frühkindliche Regulationsprobleme: Regulationsstörungen der frühen Kindheit, Papoušek M, Schieche M, Wurmser H (Ed.), Hans Huber Verlag, Bern, Germany 339-356.
20. Hemmi MH, Wolke D, Schneider S (2011) Associations between problems with crying, sleeping and/or feeding in infancy and long-term behavioural outcomes in childhood: A meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child* 96: 622-629.
21. Rao MR, Brenner RA, Schisterman EF, Vik T, Mills JL (2004) Long term cognitive development in children with prolonged crying. *Arch Dis Child* 89: 989-992.
22. Wolke D, Schmid G, Schreier A, Meyer R (2009) Crying and feeding problems in infancy and cognitive outcome in preschool children born at risk: A prospective population study. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 30: 226-238.
23. Lam P, Hiscock H, Wake M (2003) Outcomes of infant sleep problems: a longitudinal study of sleep, behavior and maternal well-being. *Pediatrics* 111: e203-e207.
24. Luoma I, Tamminen T, Kaukonen P, Laippala P, Puura K, et al. (2001) Longitudinal study of maternal depressive symptoms and child well-being. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatr* 40: 1367-74.
25. Wadsworth ME, Achenbach TM (2005) Explaining the link between low socioeconomic status and psychopathology: Testing two mechanisms of the social causation hypothesis. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 73: 1146-1153.
26. Cierpka M, Keiner fällt durchs Netz" (2005) Wie hoch belastete Familien unterstützt werden können. *Familiendynamik* 34: 36-47.
27. Sidor A, Kunz E, Eickhorst A, Cierpka M (2013) The effects of the early prevention program "Keiner fällt durchs Netz" (KfdN) ["Nobody slips through the net"] on child, on mother and on their relationship. *Infant Mental Health J* 34: 11-24.
28. Gross S, Reck C, Thiel-Bonney C, Cierpka M (2013) Empirical basis of the questionnaire for crying, feeding and sleeping. *Prax Kinderpsychol Kinderpsychiatr* 62: 327-347.
29. Wessel MA, Cobb JC, Jackson EB, Harris GS Jr, Detwiler AC (1954) Paroxysmal fussing in infancy, sometimes called colic. *Pediatrics* 14: 421-435.
30. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA (2000) Manual for the ASEBA preschool forms Families, Burlington, VT, Chittenden.
31. Arbeitsgruppe Deutsche Child Behavior Checklist (2002) Elternfragebogen für Klein- und Vorschulkinder (CBCL/1 ½-5). Arbeitsgruppe Kinder-, Jugend- und Familiendiagnostik (KJFD), Köln.
32. Abidin RR (1995) Parenting Stress Index: Professional manual. (3<sup>rd</sup> Edn), Psychological Assessment Resources, Lutz, FL, USA.
33. Hautzinger M, Bailer M (1993) Allgemeine Depressions Skala. Manual. Beltz Test GmbH, Göttingen, Germany.
34. Sidor A, Eickhorst A, Stasch M, Cierpka M (2012) Assessing risk exposure in families within the scope of early intervention: The Heidelberg Stress scale (HBS) and its quality criteria. *Prax Kinderpsychol Kinderpsychiatr* 61: 766-780.
35. Waddell C, Offord DR, Shepherd CA, Hua JM, McEwan K (2002) Child psychiatric epidemiology and Canadian public policy-making: The state of the science and the art of the possible. *Can J Psychiatry* 47: 825-832.
36. Hofacker H von, Papoušek M, Wurmser H (2004) Fütter- und Gedeihstörungen im Säuglings- und Kleinkindalter. In: Papoušek M, Schieche H, Wurmser H (Eds.), *Regulationsstörungen der Frühen Kindheit. Frühe Risiken und Hilfen im Entwicklungskontext der Eltern- Kind-Beziehungen*. Hans Huber: Bern, Germany 171-199.
37. problems in infants on their development at 12 months: A longitudinal study in a high-risk sample. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 7: 35.
38. Sidor A, Thiel-Bonney C, Kunz E, Eickhorst A, Cierpka M (2012) Persistent, excessive crying in 5-month-old infants and the pre-, peri- and postnatal adversities of their mothers in a high-risk sample. *Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother* 40: 239-250.