

Examining the Impact of Running Foot Strike Technique on Achilles Tendon Force Using Ultrasound Imaging and a Hill-Type Model

Kith Rom*

Department of Podiatry, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand

Abstract

 $\begin{array}{l} V@i \bullet A \circ c^{a} A i & j < c & i & a < A \otimes c & A \otimes a & A \otimes c &$

Keywords: Foot strike technique; Achilles tendon; Ultrasound imaging; Hill-type model; Running mechanics; Tendon force

Introduction

e Achilles tendon, the largest and strongest tendon in the human body, plays a crucial role in running by transmitting forces from the calf muscles to the heel [1]. Variations in foot strike techniques such as heel strike, midfoot strike, and forefoot strike can signi cantly in uence the biomechanical loads placed on this tendon. Understanding these e ects is essential for optimizing running performance and minimizing the risk of Achilles tendon injuries, which are common among runners. Recent advancements in imaging technology, such as ultrasound, provide detailed insights into tendon behavior during dynamic activities. Coupled with biomechanical models, such as the Hill-type model, which simulates muscle-tendon interactions, these tools allow for a comprehensive analysis of how di erent foot strike patterns impact tendon forces. is study aims to investigate the relationship between running foot strike techniques and Achilles tendon force [2-4]. By combining ultrasound imaging with the Hill-type model, we seek to elucidate how various foot strikes alter tendon load and identify strategies to enhance running e ciency and reduce injury risk.

rough this approach, we hope to contribute valuable knowledge to the eld of sports biomechanics and injury prevention.

Materials and Methods

Participants A total of healthy recreational runners participated in this study [5]. All participants provided informed consent prior to involvement. Inclusion criteria included a history of regular running without prior Achilles tendon injuries. Foot Strike Techniques Participants were tested while using three di erent foot strike techniques: heel strike, midfoot strike, and forefoot strike. ese techniques were standardized using gait analysis to ensure accurate representation of each strike pattern. Ultrasound Imaging Real-time ultrasound imaging was used to visualize the Achilles tendon during running [6]. A highfrequency linear transducer was employed to capture tendon dynamics and measure tendon displacement and deformation. Imaging was performed at and synchronized with running gait.

Biomechanical modelling a Hill-type muscle model was utilized to estimate the forces exerted on the Achilles tendon during each foot strike technique. is model accounts for the muscle-tendon unit's mechanical properties and was calibrated using data from the ultrasound images and participant-speci c measurements, including muscle and tendon length, cross-sectional area, and force-generating capacity. Data Collection and Analysis Participants ran on a controlled speed. Data were collected during running cycles for each foot strike technique. Tendon force and displacement were measured using the ultrasound images and integrated into the Hill-type model. Statistical analyses were conducted to compare tendon forces across di erent foot strike techniques [7]. Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations, were computed, and ANOVA or similar tests were used to determine signi cant di erences.

Results and discussion

Foot Strike Techniques and Tendon Force Analysis of the Achilles tendon forces revealed signi cant di erences between foot strike techniques. Speci cally, the heel strike technique generated the highest peak forces on the Achilles tendon, with an average force of compared to the midfoot strike for the forefoot strike. e forefoot strike technique resulted in the lowest peak forces, wy uue resul6.**3**Tw Tes on the

strikes [8]. Tendon Displacement Ultrasound imaging showed that tendon displacement varied with foot strike technique. e heel strike resulted in greater tendon elongation, averaging mm, compared to mm

Corresponding author: Sic@kÜ[{ÉkÖ^]ælc{^}d[.kÚ[åiæci^ÉkŒ~&\\æ}åkW}iç^\•ic^Å [.kV^&@}[[[^ÉkÞ^,kZ^æ|æ}åÉkÖĔ{æikk\iceOt[{É&[{

Received: €FÈŒ`*ĖG€GIĖÅTæ}`•&lä]dÞ[M&l-æĖGIĖFIÎ΀ÏLÅEditor assigned:Å€HĖ Œ`*ĖG€GIĖÅÚ!^ÅÛÔÅÞ[M&l-æĖGIĖFIÎ΀ĨL¢ÚÛDLÅReviewed:ÅFIÈŒ`*ĖG€GHĖÅÛÔÅÞ[M &l-æĖGIĖFIÎ΀ĨLÅ Revised:ÅGHĖŒ`*ĖG€GIĖÅTæ}`•&lä]dÞ[M&&l-æĖGIĖFIÎ΀ĨLÅÇÜDLÅ Published:ÅHĖĖŒ`*ĖG€GIĖÄÖUMÅFĖĖIFĨGЮGHGJĖJF€ÝĖF€€€ÍĨH

Citation: ÇG€GIDÅÖ¢æ { i}i} *k@^ÅQ {]æ&d{[-kÜ[×]}}i} *k@[ckÜcii\^kV^&@}i[×]^A[}Å Œ&@i||^•ÅV^}ā[}ÅØ[i&^ÅW•i} *ÅW|ciæ•[[×]}äÅQ { æ*i} *kæ}äÅæÅPi||EV[^]]^ÅT [å^|EkÔ|i}ÅÜ^•Å Ø[[cdŒ}\\]^ÉkFGKÁ Í Î HÉ

Copyright: G€GIÅ V®i•Åi*Aæ}Å[]^}Ēæ&&^••Åæid&|^Åäi*citä*c^äÅ*}å^iÅc@^Å c^!{•Å[-Åc@^ÅÔ!^æcic^ÅÔ[{{[}•ÅŒctitä*ci[}ÅŠi&^}•^čÅ]@i&@A]^!{ic*A}*}!^*citä&c^äÅ *•^čÅäi*citä*ci[}Ékæ}åÅ!^]![å*&ci[}Åi}Aæ}^Å{^åi*{É1]}[çiå^åAc@^Å[1i*i}ækæ*c0[1kæ}åÅ •[`!&^kæt^&k&!^åic^åÉ impact of foot strike technique on tendon biomechanics. e heel strike technique, which results in higher peak forces and greater tendon elongation, may increase the risk of overuse injuries compared to the forefoot strike, which mitigates these stresses. is aligns with existing literature suggesting that more direct impact forces are associated with a higher incidence of Achilles tendon injuries in runners using a heel strike pattern [9]. Biomechanical Implications e ndings underscore the importance of optimizing foot strike techniques to manage Achilles tendon loading. Runners may bene t from transitioning to midfoot or forefoot strikes to reduce peak tendon forces and potentially lower the risk of tendon-related injuries. ese techniques, by minimizing the impact forces and enhancing shock absorption, could contribute to better long-term running health and performance. Model Validation

e close alignment between the Hill-type model predictions and actual ultrasound measurements validates the use of biomechanical modeling in assessing tendon forces. is model provides a useful tool for simulating and predicting the mechanical behavior of the Achilles tendon under various running conditions, aiding in the development of targeted interventions and training programs. Limitations and Future Research is study has some limitations, including a relatively small sample size and the controlled running speed, which may not fully represent natural running conditions [10]. Future research should explore a broader range of speeds, terrains, and participant demographics to generalize ndings further. Additionally, long-term studies could provide insights into how changes in foot strike techniques a ect Achilles tendon health over time. Conclusion In summary, the study demonstrates that foot strike techniques signi cantly in uence Achilles tendon forces, with the heel strike technique imposing greater loads compared to midfoot and forefoot strikes. e integration of ultrasound imaging and Hill-type modeling o ers valuable insights into tendon mechanics, with implications for injury prevention and performance optimization in runners.

Conclusion

is study provides valuable insights into the impact of di erent running foot strike techniques on Achilles tendon forces. Our ndings demonstrate that the heel strike technique results in higher peak forces and greater tendon displacement compared to midfoot and forefoot strikes. ese increased forces may elevate the risk of Achilles tendon injuries, emphasizing the need for careful consideration of foot strike patterns in running. e use of ultrasound imaging combined with a Hilltype muscle model has proven e ective in assessing and understanding tendon mechanics during running. e close agreement between model predictions and actual measurements highlights the reliability of these tools in evaluating tendon dynamics. Overall, transitioning from a heel strike to a midfoot or forefoot strike may reduce tendon forces and potentially lower injury risk, suggesting practical applications for improving running techniques and injury prevention strategies. Future research should continue to explore the e ects of various foot strike patterns across di erent running conditions and populations to further re ne guidelines for optimizing running biomechanics.

Acknowledgement

None

Con ict of Interest

None

References