

# Exercise was Impeded by the Blood Flow after an Ankle Fracture

# Gavin G\*

Department of Health Science, Orthopaedic Surgery, Austria

## Abstract

V@i+A+c~a^A, ze+A&[}a^&c^AAc[Aa^cc^!{i}^A, \_@^c@^!Ai}aiçiă ze|+A, \_@[A@zaAa![\^}A[]^A[]^Az, ^AzA; ^AzA

# Keywords: Bl d Fl

## Introduction

A % klef ac e, hich acc % f % ea 18 10% fall b % ef ac e, ha e a % a % % al i % cide % ce f 169.7 e 100,000 e le! e e a i % f % mal j i % f % ci % i he ima 8 bjeci e fa % klef ac e ea me %. De e % di % g % he cla i ca i % f he f ac e a % d a % a cia ed b % e a % d ligame % i % j ie, he ac e ma % ageme % fa % kle f ac e ca % be ei he gical % % - gical. e maj i 8 f a i e % % de g a l % ged e i d f ela i e imm bili a i % i h a ial % eigh bea i % g f ll i % g he i % i ial ea me % e % e acc a e b % e % i %. Skele al m clea h 8 a % d j i % a % ge f m i % l a ec mm % i de e c f l % ged imm bili a i % [1-3]. I % heal h 8 c llege de % ,

### Measuring Secondary Outcomes

Of a 5- if Like cale, fe e i f (e  $\beta$  m ch, m ch, fi e / f, f, a all) a ed a e a ief Macce a ce f aif a d di c mf d if g ea met a e each BFRE e i f:

H acce able did [a] id i be in ain dic mf d in g he BFRE e i in ?

O  $\checkmark a$  5- i  $\checkmark$  Like cale, a ie  $\checkmark$  e e a ked h ec e he fel a e each BFRE e i  $\checkmark$ , a  $\checkmark$  d hei e  $\checkmark$  e e e: e  $\bigcirc$  m ch, m ch,  $\checkmark$  i e /  $\checkmark$ ,  $\checkmark$  a all.

H did Ø feel afe d i∜g daØ BFRE e i ∜.

#### **Muscle Function Outcomes**

While he a ie √a a ea ed √a a e ami √a i √able i h 60 deg ee fk ee e i √, a a -m √ed da a me e a ached he all (Mecme i AFG2500, Mecme i √L d., We S e, UK) a ed mea e he a ie √ Ma bila e al ma im m i me ic k ee e a i √ e fg h. A a √da da √d f ll i fg he m ece √ BFRE mee i √g, he m e eme i me ic k ee e a √i √ e fg h a achie ed.

if g ha a e bad:Se i ad e e e e (SAE) a e h e ha e l if h i ali a if, l f ged if a ie ca e, e- ge , if he AE i life-h ea e if g a d ca e dea h, e mater di abili , damage. A f f de i able cc e ce ha cc ed d if g f ll a c f ide ed a AE.AE a d SAE e e ga he ed a each BFRE e i f.

#### Results

Ba eli<sup>\*</sup>e i<sup>\*</sup>f ma i <sup>\*</sup>like age, ge<sup>\*</sup>de , heigh , eigh , BMI, a<sup>\*</sup>d AO cla i ca i <sup>\*</sup>f e e ga he ed a i<sup>\*</sup>cl i <sup>\*</sup>f/ba eli<sup>\*</sup>e. I<sup>\*</sup>di id al a ie<sup>\*</sup>fea ibili [a] da a e e ga he ed f ll i<sup>\*</sup>g each BFRE e i <sup>\*</sup>f.

#### Feasibility Outcome Questions and Criteria

Ba ed **\*** Ba c e k k ledge a d e e ie ce, h likel i i ha **b** ld ch e BFRE ai i g f **b** had a a k le f a m ?

H likel a e c mme d BFRE ai i g famil a d f ie d gi e c e k ledge a d e e ie c?

<sup>™</sup> i e i ∜aim <sup>≪</sup>d h a ie<sup>≪</sup> feel ab h m ch BFRE ai<sup>≪</sup>i<sup>×</sup>g ca<sup>≪</sup> be e ed e le i h a<sup>≪</sup>kle f ac e i<sup>≪</sup> ge<sup>≪</sup>e al. A lea 75% f a iet at e ed b h e i t ab hei e e ietce i h he BFRE c l i h c e f 4 highe t a 5- it Like cale, hich a a ede ted fea ible c me. F ll it g hec t i t f he tal BFRE e i t, he fea ibili g e l a e al a ed! e e t e cale a a f ll : e glikelg e glikelg, tei he / , t likelg, at t a all likelg Addi i tall, fea ibili g ill be de e mited bg he ab etce f at g e i ad e e e t (SAE). A each BFRE e i t, SAE it f ma i a ga he ed. E et ha ca e damage, dea h, e matet di abili g, life-h ea etit g c t i t t e e e de ted.

#### Conclusion

## References