Face-to-face Interaction Behaviors of Preadolescent Same-Sex and Opposite-Sex Friends and Acquaintances

Tiffany Field^{*1,2}, Ashlee Orozco², Jennifer Corbin², Gabriel Dominguez² and Patricia Frost³

F Tæi] { æ} kÔ^}c^/k-[:kÔ@i]akÖ^ç^|[] { ^}cĖkW}iç^!•ic^k [-k Tiæ { ik T^åi&æ|kÙ&@ [[|Ėk Tiæ { iĖkØ|[}iåæĖkWÙŒ

^GØå^|åå} *ÁÕ¦æå ˘æc^ÁW}åç^¦•âc ŤÁWÙŒ

^HY^•cÁŠæà[¦æc[¦ [^]ÁÙ&@[[|ÉÁWÙŒ

*Corresponding author: Tiffany Field, Mailman Center for Child Development, University of Miami Medical School, P.O. Box: 016820, Miami, Florida 33101, USA, Tel: 305-975-5029; E-mail: tfield@med.miami.edu

Received date: April 15, 2014, Accepted date: May 12, 2014, Published date: May 19, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Field T, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

e purpose of this study was to determine whether pre-adolescents interacted more similarly and more positively in best friend pairs than those in acquaintance pairs and whether same-sex dyads interacted more similarly and more positively than opposite sex dyads. Archival videotapes were coded for the face-to-face interaction behaviors of preadolescent best friend and acquaintance dyads and same sex and e dyads had been [dent] ed by sociograms opposite-sex dyads. completed by 56 sixth grade children. e dyads were then videotaped in face-to-face interactions e videotapes were coded in the current study for: 1) similar behaviors (vocalizations, body movements); 2) a ect (smiling laughing animated); 3) communication signals (latent responding interrupting talking at the same time); and for 4) accord (agreeing, disagreeing). e best friend versus acquaintance pairs had more similar vocalizations, they laughed more, they interrupted and talked at the same time less o en and they expressed agreement more o en" e best friend same sex versus opposite sex pairs showed more similar vocalizations and body movements, they laughed more o enž they showed less latent responding and expressed more agreement. Žiadsdatd suggest that more positive interactional occur within sbest friend than acquaintance dyads and within same sex versus opposite-

s ubûdinem ve

dM

Sample

e sample had been recruited in the Field et al study from the two sixth-grade classes at the West Laboratory Elementary School. Following parental informed consent and child Informed assent, 56 children (26 boys and 30 girls) were enrolled in the study. e children represented a fairly heterogeneous ethnic sample (Caucasian, Black, and Hispanic) and were middle socioeconomic status (SES). e children averaged 11.S years of age, and they had known each other for 44 years on average.

Procedures

Sociograms for selection of close friend and acquaintance pairs e children were rst asked to orally name their "best" friend and their acquaintance. More spec] c questions were then asked to con rm the children's choices ese questions appeared on a xeroxed drawing of a happy face with several cartoonlike message douds emanating from the happy face with the messages "I know-the best,""I know-the least,""I play with -- the most (least), "and at lunch I sit next to -- the most (least). e children's teachers were also given a class roster and asked to list, for each child, two of the child's closest friends in their rank order. For the pairing of children, we then did the following. For the selection of the acquaintances, we simply matched children who said that they knew, played with, or sat next to each other the least.]s appeared to be a more d] cult

Behavior	Same- sex	Opposite-sex	F
Similar behaviors			
Vocalizations	84.8 (13.4)	16.0 (9.7)	69.21 ³
Body movements	72.1 (21.8)	27.0 (14.1)	39.04 ³
Affect			
Smiling	86.0 (18.4)	83.9 (21.6)	.52
Laughing	59.3 (27.5)	33.7 (16.5)	11.28 ²
Animated	65.2 (28.0)	54.2 (11.9)	1.19
Communication signals			
Latent responding	32.9 (22.9)	42.4 (26.2)	1.39
Interrupting	11.0 (15.1)	17.3 (14.6)	2.10
Talking at same time	16.3 (19.0)	21.2 (16.4)	1.89
Accord			
Agreeing	33.0 (20.2)	18.7 (11.3)	4.89 ¹
Disagreeing	15.6 (16.5)	22.9 (19.3)	4.13 ¹
(1n - 05, 2n - 01, 3n - 001)			

(1p<.05, 2p<.01,3p<.001)

Citation: Field T, Orozco A, Corbin J, Dominguez G, Frost P (2014) Face-to-face Interaction Behaviors of Preadolescent Same-Sex and Opposite-Sex Friends and Acquaintances. J Child Adolesc Behav 2: 134. doi:10.4172/2375-4494.1000134

Page 4 of 4

- 8 Parker JG, Gottman JM (1989) Social and emotional development in a relational context. In T J Berndt, G W Ladd (Edn.,) Peer relationships in child development, pp:95-131. New York: Wiley.
- 9 Feldstein S, Field T (2002) Vocal behavior in the dyadic interactions of preadolescent and early adolescent friends and acquaintances. Adolescence 37: 495-513
- 10 Clark ML, Ayers M (1992) Friendship similarity during early adolescence gender and racial patterns. JPsychol 126:393:405
- 11. Lewis DM1, Conroy-Beam D, Al-Shawaf L, Raja A, DeKay T, et al. (2011) Friends with bene ts the evolved psychology of same and opposite-sex friendship Evol Psychol 9: 543-563
- 12 Seyfarth RM, Cheney DL (2012) e evolutionary origins of friendship Annu Rev Psychol 63 153 177.