

# Generation of Recombinant GroEL (HSP60) of *Bacillus Anthracis* in Heterologous System *E. coli* and Evaluation of Its Humoral Immune Response in Mouse Model

M Kumar, N Puranik, NK Tripathi, V Pal and AK Goel

### Abstract

evaluated in BALB/c mouse model. The endpoint titer of anti-rGroEL circulating antibodies in mouse serum was found high by ELISA after frst and second booster doses. IgG1 and IgG2b isotypes were found dominating in comparison to other isotypes. immune response generated by rGroEL indicated that it is immuno-dominant antigen of , which could be further e as a subunit vaccine candidate against anthrax.

K

: Anthrax; Bacill s Anthracis; GroEL; HSP60; Vaccine

I

Bacill s Anthracis is a Gram-positive spore forming bacterium, which is the causative agent of deadly disease anthrax. In 19th century, Robert Koch for the rst time isolated B. anthracis and explained its microbial and pathological etiology [1,2]. B. anthracis has potential to form rigid spores under adverse environmental conditions. B. anthracis spores are highly resistant to dehydration, high temperature, chemicals, high pressure and UV radiation. B. anthracis spores can maintain viability for decades in dormant state, and resume germination and rapid growth on getting favourable environment [3-5]. Anthrax is an endemic disease, mainly in several agrarian countries. Anthrax is primarily a disease of domestic and wild animals, mainly grazing animals, and human is infected on coming in contact directly or indirectly with the diseased animals [6]. Clinical manifestations of human anthrax depend on the route of exposure of B. anthracis spores, which may be cutaneous, gastrointestinal, inhalational or injectional. Cutaneous anthrax causes up to 20% mortality, if not treated with antimicrobial agents. Ingestion of spore-contaminated food can cause gastrointestinal anthrax, which accounts about 25%-60% mortality. e most fatal and fulminant manifestation of anthrax is due to inhalation of B. anthracis spores called as inhalational anthrax. Inhalational anthrax has the highest mortality even a er antibiotics therapy. Injectional anthrax is caused by taking drugs with anthrax spores contaminated needles [1,6-8]. e pathogenesis of B. anthracis depends primarily on the two large virulent plasmids, pXO1 and pXO2, which harbour genes to encode anthrax toxins and a poly-Dglutamate capsule, respectively. Tripartite anthrax toxin i.e. Protective antigen (PA), Lethal factor (LF) and Edema factor (EF) are encoded by pXO1. PA is a central component of anthrax toxins and makes lethal toxin with LF, and edema toxin with EF. Zinc metalloprotease LF inactivates the MAPKK that impairs the signalling pathway and calmodulin dependent EF catalyzes the formation of cAMP from ATP which induces edema [9,10].

Due to ease of aerosolization, *B. anthracis* has been categorized as one of the top priority bio-warfare agents [11,12]. Anthrax has been used as bioweapon during World War-I and World War-II [6]. Since 2009, a new threat of anthrax has emerged throughout the Europe in the form of anthrax spore contaminated heroin needles in drug users [8].

In 1881, Louis Pasteur rst developed live attenuated anthrax vaccine Pasteur I and Pasteur II. A erwards, Max Sterne developed non-capsulated live attenuated B. anthracis Sterne spore vaccine [13]. Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed/Biothrax (AVA) and Anthrax Vaccine Precipitated (AVP), acellular vaccines have been licensed in USA and UK for human use under the animal rule. AVA and AVP acellular vaccines are prepared from culture supernatant of pXO1+/pXO2 B. anthracis strains (V770-NP1-R or Sterne 34F2) which contains mainly PA with small amount of other bacterial proteins [14,15]. Despite of severe adverse e ects of AVA and AVP vaccines, both vaccines induce long durable humoral and cellular immune response with annual boosters. Several studies have established that PA based vaccines generate immunity against toxin, but not against B. anthracis bacterium [16,17]. Several immunodominant antigens of B. anthracis have been identi ed to develop e ective anthrax subunit vaccines. ese immunodominant antigens of B. anthracis were evaluated as

vaccine candidates either alone or in combination with PA [17-20].

Membranous and secreted antigens have been considered as potent vaccine candidate due to rst line interaction between host and pathogen [21]. In the era of next generation subunit vaccine development, researchers are looking towards use of membrane component as well as secreted proteins to elicit humoral and cellular immune response.

e heat shock protein, GroEL (HSP60) is present on the spore surface as well on bacterial membrane and in the secretome of bacteria [21-24].

erefore, in the current study, GroEL (BA0267) gene of *B. anthracis.* was cloned and expressed in heterologous system *E. coli.* 

\*Corresponding author: AK Goel, Bioprocess Technology Division, Defense Research and Development Establishment, Jhansi Road, Gwalior-474002, India, Tel: 91-751-2344301; E-mail: klschreiber@bwh.harvard.edu

Received January 09, 2020; Accepted February 04, 2020; Published February 04, 2020

Citation: Kumar M, Puranik N, Tripathi NK, Pal V, Goel AK (2020) Generation of Recombinant GroEL (HSP60) of in Heterologous System and Evaluation of Its Humoral Immune Response in Mouse Model. J Bioterror

Biodef 11: 165.

**Copyright:** © 2020 Kumar M, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Recombinant GroEL protein was puri ed, and administered with aluminium hydroxide in BALB/c mice via subcutaneous route to study the humoral immune response. Immunological response elicited by GroEL indicated that GroEL is a potent immunodominant antigen of *B. anthracis.* 

### Ε

All animal care and experimental procedures were conducted as per the approved protocols of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of Defence Research and Development Establishment (Reg. No.37/Go/C/1999/CPCSEA) and Institutional Biosafety Committee (Protocol no. IBSC/12/BT/AKG/22). All the experimental mice were maintained in pathogen free facility.

### B

Strain of *B. anthracis* bearing plasmids pXO1 and pXO2 was isolated from cutaneous anthrax sample from Andhra Pradesh, India [25]. Glycerol stock of *B. anthracis* was streaked and grown on Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) agar plate at 37°C for 16 h. Genomic DNA of *B. anthracis* was isolated by using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA). Bacterial strains  $i \dots E$ . coli

column for binding followed by column washing with wash bu er (50 mM  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 300 mM NaCl and 20 mM imidazole; pH-8). A er washing, the protein was eluted with elution bu er (50 mM  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 300 mM NaCl and 250 mM imidazole; pH-8). e puri ed rGroEL was analysed on 10% SDS-PAGE, dialysed and estimated by BCA method (Sigma, USA). e level of Lipo-polysaccharide (endotoxin) was measured by Limulus Amebocyte Lysate assay, and endotoxin free rGroEL antigen was used for immunizations.

# I G EL B. an hraci

Sub-lethal dose of *B. anthracis* Sterne spores was injected intraperitoneally on 0th day and 12th days in BALB/c mice (n=5). A er second dose, serum was collected on 7th day. Puri ed rGroEL antigen was separated on 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane, treated with 1:1000 pooled anti-*B. anthracis* Sterne mouse serum, and probed with rabbit anti-mouse HRP conjugate antibody (Sigma, USA) followed by development with HRP substrate 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB).

Six to eight weeks old female BALB/c mice were received from animal facility, DRDE, Gwalior and randomly grouped. Recombinant antigen GroLE was adsorbed on 0.35% aluminium hydroxide (Alhydrogel® adjuvant, InvivoGen, USA). One group of BALB/c mice (n=6) was immunized subcutaneously with 10  $\mu$ g of aluminium hydroxide adsorbed GroEL. e second group of mice was kept as control and administered with PBS only (Figure 1A). Blood of animals was collected at day 0 (before immunization) and prime dose was given followed by two boosters on day 14 and 21. e serum of immunized mice was collected on day 21 (1st booster serum) and 28 (2nd booster serum, Figure 1A). Level of anti-GroEL IgG antibodies and its isotypes were determined in serum collected a er rst and second booster.

### D IG IG

IgG and IgG subtypes of anti-GroEL were measured in hyper immune sera of rst and second booster by indirect ELISA. Brie y, 96 well ELISA plate (Nunc-Immuno Plate, Denmark) were coated with 0.1  $\mu$ g/well of rGroEL antigen in 0.1 M carbonate-bicarbonate bu er (pH 9.6) and incubated at 4 C for overnight. Coated plates were washed thrice with 0.05% Tween-20 containing PBS (PBS-T) and blocked with 250  $\mu$ l/well of 5% skim milk powder (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Following three washes, 100  $\mu$ l of mouse serum at di erent dilutions were added in triplicates and incubated for 1 h at RT. Unbound antibodies were removed by washing and 100  $\mu l$  of rabbit anti-mouse HRP conjugate (Sigma, USA) was added at a dilution of 1:10000.

For the subtyping of anti-GroEL antibodies (serum a er second booster), sera were serially diluted from 1:1000 to 1:512000 and added in triplicates and incubated for 1 h at RT. e plates were washed and incubated with rabbit anti-mouse IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b and IgG3 (Mouse monoclonal antibody isotyping reagents, Sigma, USA) as per manufacturer's instructions. A er 1 h of incubation, ve times washed plates were incubated with 100  $\mu$ l of o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD; Sigma, USA) as HRP substrate for 10 min at RT and the reaction was stopped by 2N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. e absorbance was taken at 490 nm by ELISA reader (BioTek instruments, USA).

Statistical analysis of data and graphical representation was performed by GraphPad Prism 5 so ware. T-test method was used for statistical comparisons of data of di erent groups. Statistical signi cance was settled by the value of p (\*p 0.05, \*\*p 0.001).

### D

Anthrax caused by *Bacill s Anthracis* is a highly devastating disease, which is mainly found in agrarian countries. B. anthracis is considered as a bioterrorism agent due to its aerosolization and dissemination properties. Besides, it poses challenges to public health system also in the form of cutaneous anthrax. Several types of post-exposure prophylactic therapies are recommended for anthrax treatment including antibiotics and antitoxins. However, for pre-exposure therapy, only AVA or AVP vaccines are available. B. anthracis causes pathogenesis by two factors *i*; toxins and bacterial sepsis. Current licensed anthrax vaccines (AVA and AVP) are e ective mainly against anthrax toxins but probably provides limited protection against B. anthracis. So, it is desirable to include a component in vaccine which could provide protection against spores and vegetative cells to restrict the anthrax infection. Spore antigens and vegetative components of *B. anthracis* enhance the protective e cacy of PA based subunit vaccines. In order to develop next generation subunit vaccines, several recombinant antigens *i*\_--Lethal Factor, Edema Factor, Extractable Antigen 1, Poly-D-glutamic acid. and BclA of *B. anthracis* have been evaluated for their ability to enhance protective e cacy of PA based vaccines [23]. In this study, we cloned and expressed the rGroEL (HSP60) of B. anthracis in E. coli for its immunological characterization in mouse model.

To prepare recombinant antigen, PCR ampli ed GroEL gene of *B. anthracis* was cloned in pET28a+ vector (Figures 1B and 1C). e location of histidine tag and open reading frame of GroEL has been shown in Figure 1A. e expressed recombinant GroEL protein (~58 kDA) was analysed on SDS PAGE and by Western blot with anti-His antibodies (Figures 2A and 2B). e recombinant GroEL was puri ed vanthracis

5B). IgG antibodies tires and IgG sub-class prolife against GroEL has established 2 type immune response, which may play an important role in stimulation and maintenance of B cells.

## С

In conclusion, the humoral immune response elicited by rGroEL indicated that it is potent immunodominant antigen of *B. anthracis.* erefore, the GroEL could be used further for evaluation as subunit vaccine candidate either alone or in combination with other immunodominant antigens of *B. anthracis* against anthrax.

#### Page 5 of 5

- Wright JG, Quinn CP, Shadomy S, Messonnier N (2010) V Centers for Disease C, Prevention, Use of anthrax vaccine in the United States: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2009. MMWR Recomm Rep 59 (RR-6):1-30
- Friedlander AM, Little SF (2009) Advances in the development of nextgeneration anthrax vaccines. Vaccine 27:28-32.
- Whiting GC, Rijpkema S, Adams T, Corbel MJ (2004) Characterisation of adsorbed anthrax vaccine by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. Vaccine 22:4245-4251.
- Liu X, Wang D, Ren J, Tong C, Feng E, et al. (2013) Identification of the immunogenic spore and vegetative proteins of Bacillus anthracis vaccine strain A16R. PLoS One 8:57959.
- Ghosh N, Goel AK, Alam SI (2014) Exoproteome analysis of a novel strain of Bacillus cereus implicated in disease resembling cutaneous anthrax. Infect Genet Evol 22:1-11.
- 20. Varshney A, Puranik N, Kumar M, Goel AK (2016) The humoral immune response to various domains of protective antigen of Bacillus anthracis in cutaneous anthrax cases in India. Def Sci J 66:645-650.
- 21. Sinha K, Bhatnagar R (2010) GroEL provides protection against Bacillus anthracis infection in BALB/c mice. Mol Immunol 48:264-271.

- 22. Uchida M, Harada T, Enkhtuya J, Kusumoto A, Kobayashi Y, et al. (2012) Protective effect of Bacillus anthracis surface protein EA1 against anthrax in mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 421:323-328.
- Aggarwal S, Somani VK, Gupta S, Garg R, Bhatnagar R (2019) Development of a novel multiepitope chimeric vaccine against anthrax. Med Microbiol Immunol 208:185-195.

24.