



***Corresponding author:** Jennifer Joel, Department of Radiology, St Georges, University of London, UK, E-mail: jennifer.joel@gmail.com

Received: 31-Jan-2023, Manuscript No. roa-23-90055; **Editor assigned:** 02-Feb-2023, PreQC No. roa-23-90055 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 16-Feb-2023, QC No. roa-23-90055; **Revised:** 21-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. roa-23-90055 (R); **Published:**

versus 40 percent), and catheter dislocation as a result of poor fixation techniques accounts for a significant portion of these complications. These issues are likely to become less common in the future as catheter fixation methods get better. Additionally, interventional revisions have been shown to successfully resolve the majority of these device-related complications, with a rate of 17% of device-related treatment interruption, which is superior to the surgical method [8].

Conclusion

For patients with advanced nonsurgical hepatic primary or metastatic tumors, HAIC remains an effective treatment option. Hepatic arterial catheters can now be placed minimally invasively percutaneously under local anesthesia thanks to recent advancements in interventional radiological techniques. Establishing vascular access, keeping an eye out for complications, and evaluating the results of HAIC treatment regimens all require the expertise of diagnostic and interventional radiologists.

Acknowledgement

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Hildebrandt B, Pech M, Nicolaou A, Langrehr JM, Kurcz J, et al. (2007) Interventionally implanted port catheter systems for hepatic arterial infusion of chemotherapy in patients with colorectal liver metastases: a Phase II-study and historical comparison with the surgical approach. *BMC Cancer* 7: 69.
2. Aldrighetti L, Arru M, Angeli E, Venturini M, Salvioni M, et al. (2002) Percutaneous vs. surgical placement of hepatic artery indwelling catheters for regional chemotherapy. *Hepatogastroenterology* 49: 513-517.
3. Zhu AL, Liu LX, Piao DX, Lin YX, Zhao JP, et al. (2004) Liver regional continuous chemotherapy: use of femoral or subclavian artery for percutaneous implantation of catheter-port systems. *World J Gastroenterol* 10: 1659-1662.
4. Huk I, Entschef P, Prager M, Schulz F, Polterauer P, et al. (1990) Patency rate of implantable devices during long-term intraarterial chemotherapy. *Angiology* 41: 936-941.
5. Habbe TG, McCowan TC, Goertzen TC, Leveen RF, Culp W C, et al. (1998) Complications and technical limitations of hepatic arterial infusion catheter placement for chemotherapy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 9: 233-239.
6. Strum S, McDermed J, Korn A, Joseph C (1986) Improved methods for venous access: the Port-A-Cath, a totally implanted catheter system. *J Clin Oncol* 4: 596-603.
7. Lafer U, Durig M, Zimmerli W, Stoll HR, Landmann J, et al. (1989) Implantable catheter system for ambulatory parenteral antibiotic chemotherapy. A prospective study. *Helv Chir Acta* 56: 127-131.
8. Okuyama K, Tohnosu N, Koide Y, Awano T, Matsubara H, et al. (1992) Complications and their management in intraarterial infusion chemotherapy. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 19: 1007-1013.