

Propanil; Phytoplankton; Zooplankton; Water quality

Aquaculture is the fastest growing agricultural sector worldwide. In the USA, although channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) farming remains the major endeavor, other species are also important, such as bait fish, and crawfish. Aquaculture mainly occurs in earthen ponds, which as Boyd and Tucker [1] state are still the most common system.

Phytoplankton are critical to water quality in ponds: in moderate concentrations (250-500 µg/L) they provide dissolved oxygen (DO) and remove nitrogenous wastes which help maintain healthy fish populations [1]. Excessive levels of phytoplankton, however, can result in low DO at night and early morning because they use some of the oxygen they produce for respiration. Phytoplankton die-off can also lead to spikes in unionized ammonia and nitrite levels, and some algae cause problems in fish, decreasing their value. In fry ponds, zooplankton is the most important source of food before fry are able to take prepared food. The quality of zooplankton in ponds is very much dependent on phytoplankton dynamics in these ponds.

) farming has very m Carnit i.5 carnt i.5rus punctatussome s [(kton czooors rit3a2e)36 ca422t 3a(3a2 Tton ncMFiadja -1.4st ee e

***Corresponding author:** Edziyie R, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana; E-mail: reedziyie.fnr@knust.edu.gh

Received January 01, 2017; **Accepted** February 17, 2017; **Published** February 27, 2017

Citation: Perschbacher P, Edziyie R (2017) Mesocosm Studies on the Effect of Propanil on the Water Quality and Plankton Communities of Four Aquaculture Pond Systems. J Fisheries Livest Prod 5: 223 doi: [10.4172/2332-2608.1000223](https://doi.org/10.4172/2332-2608.1000223)

Copyright: © 2017 Perschbacher P, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

pumped into the mesocosms the day of each trial. The four ponds used were gold sh, *L. vannamei* shrimp, hybrid striped bass, and channel cat sh, with industry standard stocking and management, including daily feeding. Water for all ponds was well water of hardness and alkalinity of 100-125 mg/L. Chlorophyll a levels were 1143 µg/L in the gold sh pond, 8 µg/L in the *L. vannamei* pond, 85 µg/L in the hybrid striped pond, and 187 µg/L in the channel cat sh pond.

Morning dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, and pH were measured using an Aquacheck Water Analyzer. Total ammonia-nitrogen (TAN) using the Nessler method, and nitrite-nitrogen (NO₂-N) were also determined at 0.900 h the day before propanil was added and then at 24, 48, 72 h post application and longer until the mesocosms had recovered from the propanil treatment (judged by no significant differences with the control). Unionized ammonia (UIA) levels were subsequently calculated from pH, temperature, and TAN levels. At similar intervals, phytoplankton and zooplankton samples were taken and analyzed following Perschbacher [2-4]. A two-hour light and dark bottle method was used to determine primary productivity and respiration and chlorophyll a was measured using APHA [6] except for ethanol substitution for acetone solvents.

For all variables, statistical analysis was a one-way ANOVA. LSD tests were used as a mean separation test (0.05 significance level) with SAS.

Few differences in the variables were seen prior to propanil addition. Variables significantly different prior to propanil addition were not used in results. And although there were some similarities in the effects of the propanil on the ecosystem variables, the responses of the four pond systems were quite different, as will be seen. As noted

earlier, only the variables showing significant differences are included in the tables.

The only significant difference was seen in elevated UIA levels after 24 h (Table 1).

L. vannamei

Significantly, depressed differences in pH were seen beginning at 24 h and extending to 72 h (Table 1). Respiration also was significantly different, being depressed after 24 h. And the cyanobacterium *Raphidiopsis* spp. numbers were depressed after 24 h.

Only zooplankton numbers were found to be depressed from 24 h to 72 h (Table 2). However, only this system exhibited significant multiple effects on zooplankton.

The most significant differences were seen in this system (Table 1). DO was depressed from 24 h to 96 h, nitrite-n concentrations were elevated from 24 h to 72 h. UIA concentrations were depressed from 24 h to 96 h. Chlorophyll a levels were elevated from 24 h to 72 h.

The water quality of the pond system with the highest chlorophyll a level (1143 µg/L), the gold sh pond system, was impacted the least. Phytoplankton at high levels has been proposed to modify pesticide effects by sorption to the algae [7-9]. The most impacted was the channel cat sh pond system, with mid-levels of chlorophyll a (187

Ponds should be stocked with fry before application of rice herbicides since propanil can affect zooplankton numbers, as seen in the hybrid striped bass pond. Fry and ngerling ponds would be especially susceptible to several days of lowered zooplankton.

Acknowledgements

Our sincere gratitude to IFAFS, CSREES, AFC, and UAPB for their financial and other support provided for this project. We are greatly indebted to Dr Gerald Ludwig and Dr Andrew Goodwin for their support and advice.

References

1. Boyd CE, Tucker CS (1998) Pond aquaculture and water quality management. Ecology, Kluwer.
2. Perschbacher PW, Stone N, Ludwig GM, Guy CB (1997) Evaluation of the effects of common aerially-applied soybean herbicides and propanil on the plankton communities of aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture* 157: 117-122.
3. Perschbacher PW, Ludwig GM, Slaton N (2002) Effects of common aerially-applied rice herbicides on the plankton communities of aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture* 214: 241-246.
4. Perschbacher PW, Ludwig GM (2004) Effects of diuron common aerially-applied rice herbicides on the plankton communities of aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture* 214: 241-246.
5. Edziyie R (2004) The effect of propanil on five pond plankton communities. M.S. Thesis. University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, Pine Bluff, AR.
6. APHA (American Public Health Association) (1995) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater; (19th edn). American Public Health Association. Washington.
7. Day K, Kaushik NK (1987) The absorption of fenvalerate to laboratory glassware and the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and its effects on uptake of the pesticide by *Daphnia galeata mendotae*. *Aquatic Toxicology* 10: 131-142.
8. Waiser MJ, Roberts RD (1997) Impacts of herbicide and fertilizer on the microbial community of a saline prairie lake. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 54: 320-329.
9. Stamfi NC, Knillmann S, Matthias L, Beketov MA (2011) Environmental context determines community sensitivity of freshwater zooplankton to a pesticide. *Aquatic Toxicology* 104: 116-120.
10. Hamilton PB, Jackson GS, Kaushik NK, Solomon KR, Stephenson GL (1988) The impact of two applications of atrazine on plankton communities of *in situ* enclosures. *Aquatic Toxicology* 13: 123-140.
11. Tucker