

Minimally Invasive Techniques in Pancreatic Cancer Surgery

Sander Leys*

Department of Oncology, University of Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

Ke, **d**: Minimally invasive surgery; Pancreatic cancer; Laparoscopic surgery; Robotic-assisted surgery; pancreaticoduodenectomy

∎ **a** d **a a**

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most aggressive cancers, with a poor prognosis and a high mortality rate. Surgery remains the only curative treatment for patients with localized pancreatic tumors, but the traditional open surgical approaches are associated with high morbidity, extended recovery times, and signi cant postoperative complications. Minimally invasive surgery (MIS), including laparoscopic and robotic-assisted techniques, o ers an alternative that reduces trauma, accelerates recovery, and improves the overall surgical experience for patients. ese techniques have been successfully applied to pancreatic cancer surgery, including procedures such as distal pancreatectomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple procedure), and tumor debulking in advanced cases [1][2]. e development of minimally invasive techniques in pancreatic cancer surgery represents a signi cant shi toward more precise, less traumatic approaches. Laparoscopic surgery, which involves small incisions and the use of a camera for visualization, has been demonstrated to reduce blood loss, shorten hospital stays, and minimize postoperative pain compared to open surgery. Roboticassisted surgery further enhances these bene ts by o ering greater precision, exibility, and control through advanced robotic platforms, enabling surgeons to perform complex resections with improved

F™ e Dieces III MIII al I ai e Palcease Calce Sge

As technology continues to advance, the future of minimally invasive pancreatic surgery looks promising. Innovations such as augmented reality (AR) and arti cial intelligence (AI) are expected to further enhance the precision and e ectiveness of these techniques. AR can provide real-time visualization of the tumor and surrounding structures, while AI may assist in preoperative planning and intraoperative decision-making. Additionally, the development of more advanced robotic systems with greater dexterity, improved imaging, and haptic feedback will further improve the safety and e cacy of minimally invasive pancreatic surgery. As the technology becomes more accessible and the learning curve decreases, it is expected that MIS will become more widely adopted for a broader range of pancreatic cancer patients, improving surgical outcomes and patient quality of life.

In conclusion, robotic-assisted and minimally invasive techniques are transforming the landscape of pancreatic cancer surgery by o ering enhanced precision, reduced recovery times, and improved patient outcomes. While these approaches present certain challenges, particularly related to technical complexity and the need for specialized training, their potential bene ts make them a promising option for many patients. With advancements in technology, such as augmented reality and arti cial intelligence, the future of minimally invasive pancreatic surgery holds great promise for even more precise, e ective, and widely accessible treatment options. As these techniques continue to evolve, they are likely to play an increasingly critical role in improving both the survival rates and quality of life for patients undergoing pancreatic cancer surgery.

References

- K[@ KA, S^••[HD, Pæ ^}àæ;*^! RS, L^^ IM (2006) Dæåi^]¦[å`&c•, &æ|&å` { æ}å]¦[•cæc^ &æ}&^! iå•\. B¦ J Cæ}&^! 95: 1582-1585.
- L^^ MX, Kæœàæc@i}æ XS, B[,^!•[} ML, Mic[~]| MI, S@^cc[~] AS, ^c æ].
 (2016) BRCA-æ••[&iæc^å Cæ}&^i•: R[|^ [- I {æ*i}* i} S&!^^}i}, Diæ*}[•i•, æ}å Mæ}æ*^ {^}c. Ræåi[*iæ]@i&• 37: 1005-1023.
- 3. Cæcæ|[}æ YJ (2018) P¦[•cæc^ Cæ}&^¦ S&!^^}å}*. M^å C|å} N A { 102: 199-214.
- G¦[••{æ} DC, C^{*}||[^] SJ, O, ^}• DK, Biàài}•-D[{i}*[K, Cæ^{**}@^^ AB, ^cæ].
 (2018) S&|^^}i}*-[|P|[•cæc^ Cæ}&^!: US P|^c^}ciç^ S^!çi&^• Tæ•\ F[!&^ R^&[{ {^âæci[} Scæc^{^}(^)c. JAMA 319: 1901-1913.
- Cæàæ\'æ]æ S, P^\^!æ M, M&G!æc@ S, Læ, !^}c•&@`\ N (2016) P![•cæc^ &æ}&A' •&!^^}i? , ic@]![•cæc^-•]^&i, &æ}ci*^}: A *`iå^ c[c@^ *`iå^|i}^•. P![•cæc^ I}c 4: 125-129.
- Biæ[^] F, F^i|æ[^] J, S[^ib[{æcæiæ { I, Si[^] * ∩ RL, T[i|^ LA, ^c æ]. (2018) G|[àæ] &æ}&^i •cæci•ci&• 2018: GLOBOCAN ^•ci {æc^• [- i}&ià*}&^ æ}a { [cæjɛ[^] , [i|å, iå[^] - [i 36 &æ}&^i•i} 185 &[^{*}]cii^•. Cæ}&^i J C|i} 68: 394-424.
- R^à^||[RJ, Oî}*C, K}^{*}å•^} KE, L[^à S, J[®}•[} DC, ^c æ|. (2021) P¦[•cæc^ canc**#.6379(00066**tuall20505
- d