

# Risk Assessment of In-Vehicle Noise Pollution from Highways

#### **Abstract**

Regular and long-term elevated noise exposure can bring about various adverse health effects, such as hearing impairment, hypertension, heart disease and sleep disturbance. Many noise pollution controls have been implemented in industries, transport vehicles, households and other sources. However, relatively little attention has been paid to in-vehicle noise. In-vehicle noise is overall higher than the maximum safe level of 70 dB(A) for 24 h exposure without harmful effects. Though the excessive noise may not induce acute adverse health consequences, millions of commuters are chronically exposed to the hazardous noise during daily commuting. There are fewer studies investigated the in-vehicle noise exposure and its adverse health consequences to the public, most relevant noise controls are limited to vehicle manufacturing industries, which underestimate or ignore the impacts of ambient traffic and road conditions on the in-vehicle noise. This motivates the objective, which is to assess the risk of hazardous noise exposure on highways for commuters. Twelve subjects were recruited for an on-road driving test on State Highway 288 in Houston to collect real-time in-vehicle noise levels and vehicle activity. Results show that commuters are more likely to be exposed to the noise level between 75 dB(A) and 85 dB(A). At the driving speed of 70 kph above, the in-vehicle noise level exceeds the public health and welfare marginal safe level of 8 h 75 dB(A).

**Key Action:** Noise; In-vehicle noise; Interior vehicle noise; Pollution; Highways; Risk assessment

#### **Introduction**

Noise is one of the typical hazardous emissions. Regular and longterm exposure to elevated noise can bring about various adverse health consequences, such as hearing impairment, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, annoyance, and sleep disturbance  $[1,2]$ . e maximum safe noise level (MSNL) for human beings is 70 dB(A) for 24 h exposure without harmful e ects [3]. 85  $dB(A)$  or higher can cause permanent damage to hearing sensitivity and even induce hearing loss [4]. Noiseinduced hearing loss is one of the most common occupational illnesses. In the United States, 10 million people have already sugfered irreversible hearing damage from noise and 30 to 50 million people are daily exposed to the hazardous noise [5].

e U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [6] documented an 8 h level of 75 dB(A) as the public health and welfare marginal safe level. Regarding occupational noise, 90 dB(A) is the limit for maximum 8-hr exposure. Meanwhile, the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration [7] enforces the usage of hearing protectors to attenuate the noise level to an 8 h Time Weighted Average (TWA) of 85 dB(A). Various noise pollution controls have been implemented in households, industries, tra c and other noise sources; whereas an automobile cab has been never regarded as a place that needs to protect passengers and drivers from noise and the impacts of in-vehicle noise on public health have been seldom addressed in transportation studies.

In terms of in-vehicle noise, the source can be divided into structureborne and airborne noise. e structure-borne noise is generated by vibration at a mounting point. evibration waves propagate through the vehicle structure, and then radiate acoustically into the cabin. The noise e ects are formed by structural resonance and cabin acoustic modes with dominant low frequency of 500 Hz below [8]. e components of a vehicle, such as engine accessories, cooling fans, braking, and exhaust systems, can generate the structure-borne noise [9]. On the other hand, the airborne noise is referred to the noise that is directly transmitted via the air into the cabin through gaps and leakage of a vehicle, such as the tire-interface noise, wind noise, and ambient vehicles, which are mostly at the frequency of 800 Hztof 3 dB(A) appears to be a better predictor of noise hazard for most practical conditions [11].

In fact, most of the people in the U.S. rely on their personal vehicles for mobility and each of them spends an average of 25.4 min for daily commuting [12] and stuck in tra c from 42 h/year for San Diego commuters to 82 h/year for Washington DC commuters [13]. What's more, recent studies found that in-vehicle noise is overall higher than the MSNL and is also subject to driving speed. For example, Li et al. [14] found that drivers are exposed to in-vehicle noise level of 71.10 to 72.59  $dB(A)$  on arterial roads during weekday daytime.  $e$  in-vehicle noise level increases to 81 dB(A) on the highway with concrete pavement and 75 dB(A) with asphalt pavement at the midnight [15].

Nevertheless, there is still a lack of studies in the in-vehicle noise exposure during weekday daytime and its risk of adverse health consequences to the public. With regard to this, this research is proposed to investigate the distribution of in-vehicle noise exposure levels, assess the risk of the in-vehicle noise exposure for commuters, and simplify the estimation of hazardous noise exposure on highway.

**\*Corresponding author:** Qing Li, Innovative Transportation Research Institute, Texas Southern University, 3100 Cleburne Street, Houston, 77004, Texas, USA, Tel: 713-313-7532; E-mail: liq@tsu.edu

**Received** December 06, 2016; **Accepted** December 26, 2016; **Published** January 01, 2017

**Citation:** Li Q, Qiao F, Yu L (2016) Risk Assessment of In-Vehicle Noise Pollution from Highways. Environ Pollut Climate Change 1: 107. doi: 10.4172/2573- 458X.1000107

**Copyright:** © 2016 Li Q, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Li Q, Qiao F, Yu L (2016) Risk Assessment of In-Vehicle Noise Pollution from Highways. Environ Pollut Climate Change 1: 107. doi: 10.4172/2573-458X.1000107

Page 2 of 5

### $M = M_{\odot} M_{\odot}$

e risk of in-vehicle noise exposure is assessed by hazardous exposure probability, Equivalent Exposure Time Factor (EETF), TWA daily noise level. e thresholds 8-hr exposure of 75 dB(A), 85 dB(A) and  $90$  dB(A) were adopted to estimate the risk of hazardous e ects.

e hazardous exposure probability is the number of noise levels that are greater than the thresholds divided by the total number of all noise measurement. e EETF is 3 dB(A) exchange rate based daily equivalent exposure time for each threshold divided by the distance traveled during the exposure, expressed as Equation (1).

 $\frac{N}{i\vert threshold} 2^{\int L_i 4L_{threshold} \parallel /3}$ <br>*d* (1) *<sup>i</sup> EETF* where *L*

Environ Pollut Climate Change, an open access journal ISSN: 2573-458X

on the noise levels between 70 dB(A) and 80 dB(A), at which level drivers are more likely to be exposed. Short-term exposure to such a noise level can bring about negligible risk of adverse health e ects [16].

Figure 3 illustrates the distribution of in-vehicle noise levels along the increasing vehicle speed. General speaking, there is a trend that the noise level rises with the increase in driving speed bins. Meanwhile, the upper and lower quartile of each distribution becomes shorter along with the increasing speed. Apparently, it seems that the speed bin is linearly associated with the noise level. Besides, it is worth noting that the distribution of the noise level is more dispersive during idling and nearly idling represented by bin 0 and bin (0 10), respectively, and the noise levels at these two bins are the lowest.

During idling, the dominant vehicle operation is a stop-and-go activity, or stopping followed by a hard acceleration. While idling, structure-borne vibration noise is dominant and rarely transmitted to other cabs nearby. However, a hard acceleration fastens engine speed and eventually generates higher noise levels. e hard acceleration related noise is directly transmitted via the air into the cabins nearby through gaps and leakage in a vehicle. During congestion, all vehicles conduct the stop-and-go activities subsequently, leading to the more dispersive distribution of the noise levels.

Comparatively, the noise levels in other speed bins (20+) are concentrated, but there are more outliers indicated by the crosses.

e concentrated distribution could be explained by the dominant operation at higher speed bins, foot on gas pedal to maintain speed. e outliers could be generated by passing heavy engine vehicles, such

as heavy trucks.

## **Probability of hazard exposure**

Figure 4a shows that the probability of 75-85 dB(A) declines from 32% at speed bin 0 to about 23% at speed bin 10-20 kph and then gradually increase to approximately  $74\%$  at bin 100-110 kph. erea er, the probability declines slightly to 70% at 110 kph above. Drivers are more likely to be exposed to 75 dB(A) above at higher driving speed.

Compared with the 75-85 dB(A) exposure probability, the likelihood of 85-90 dB(A) and 90 plus dB(A) exposure are obviously

Page 4 of 5

lower with up to 2.7% and 0.3%, respectively, as shown in Figures 4b and 4c. Likewise, there is a growing trend in the 85-90 dB(A) exposure probability along the increasing driving speeds. e general trend of the probability of 90 plus  $dB(A)$  is similar to the ones between 75  $dB(A)$ and  $90$  dB(A), it uctuates considerably along the driving speed. is

uctuation may be caused by heavy engine vehicles that occasionally pass by. Keep in mind that a passing heavy truck can produces 90 dB(A) at  $15.24 \text{ m}$  (50) distance.

**Equivalent exposure time factors**

Figure 5 illustrates the EETF for the three thresholds. As a whole, the EETF at the lowest speed bin 0-10 is the highest with about 600 s/ km for 75 dB(A), 27 s/km for 85 dB(A) and 7 s/km for 90 dB(A).  $e$ EETFs decrease signicantly to 110 s/km, 4 s/km and 2.5 s/km for the three thresholds at the speed bin 30-40. respectively. ough previous three thresholds at the speed bin 30-40, respectively. section demonstrates that the hazardous noise exposure probability at the lower driving speed bins are relatively lower than at the higher speed bins, the lower driving speed results in longer exposure time per km traveled.

75 dB(A) EETF (b) 85 and 90 dB(A) EETF

Page 5 of 5

freeway SH 288 in Houston Texas, USA. During the driving test, invehicle noise levels and vehicle activities were collected. Results show that the in-vehicle noise is mostly distributed at the range between 70 and  $80$  dB(A).  $e$  in-vehicle noise levels are sensitive to driving speeds. Among the driving speed bins, the in-vehicle noise level presents the lowest during idling and nearly idling modes, but more dispersive. Besides, test drivers were more likely to be exposed to the noise level between 75 dB(A) and 85 dB(A). Driving at the lower speeds leads to the longer hazardous noise exposure time per unit traveled distance. Moreover, the TWA noise levels rise with the increasing driving speed.

e TWA noise levels of 85 and 90 dB(A) on the highway are far to the corresponding saturated levels cross the speed bins, whereas the TWA noise level of 75 dB(A) at the driving speed of 70 kph above exceeds the public health and welfare marginal safe limit. erefore, it is concluded that, commuters are chronically exposed to in-vehicle noise at the level between 75 dB(A) and 85 dB(A) on highways, which can cause negligible risk of hearing impairment.

#### **Acknowledgement**

The authors acknowledge that this research is supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grants #1137732. The opinions, findings,