

prevalence of 70% among Australian adults would result in 6000 less

has piloted STUFF with health sciences students at a university in the UK and hope that its introduction will facilitate more research into the social, psychological and health benefits of reducing sitting time.

Chia and Suppiah (2014) (Sitting Made in Time to be Less Sedentary at Work)

Chia and Suppiah [2] describe a pilot study that involved group of volunteer staff (mixed sex) working at the National Institute of Education (NIE) who had office- and desk-bound job descriptions. Using a cross-over and 'random sample-split half' design (4-week intervention-2-week wash out and vice versa), the researchers introduced a commercially available seat cycle to half the sample of volunteers to replace the normal office chair and modified the workstation that involved raising the computer screen to the eye-level of the subject whilst seated on the seat cycle (intervention phase). Following the wash-out period, the sample reverted to the normal desk-chair set up. The modified workstation set up allowed the subject to cycle at a self-selected pedal cadence against a minimal resistance, to work on the computer or read, at the same time. The 4-week non-intervention phase involved the normal chair and normal workstation arrangement.

The measurements taken in the research included anthropometry, resting heart rate and blood pressure, cycle time, alertness scale taken every hour at the office, lower back and disability scales, and sleep quality taken at the start and end of each phase of the research. A summary of yet to be published data showed that there were significant improvements for sleep quality, lower back pain, resting blood pressure and daytime alertness for cycling up to 30% of the time spent in the office (Chia, Chen and Suppiah, in personal communication).

An associated sub-study showed that the self-selected pedal cadence while reading elicited up to 2.4 times the measured resting oxygen consumption of the subjects who participated in the study (Chia, Chen & Suppiah, in personal communication). The fact that cycling at a self-selected pedal pace against a minimal resistance while reading or working on the computer elicits MET values greater than 2, challenges the general acceptance that desk-bound office work is sedentary. In the literature, MET values of activities of less than 1.5 are usually considered as sedentary [3], though researchers have described activities that elicit a response of less than 2 MET values as sedentary [23].

Koepp et al. (2014) (Affecting Sedentary Behavior at Work)

Koepp et al. [24] studied the impact of replacing traditional office desks with treadmill desks on daily physical activity and sedentary

