Open Access

51F & GGFDU PG 7BSFOJDMJOF "ENJOJTUSBUJPO \$BOOBCJT BOE /JDPUJOF %FQ F\$OBEFFO4UF \$OFET WJEV

David A L Newcombe 1,2*, Natalie Walker 1,3, Janie Sheridan 1,4 and Susanna Galea 1,2,5

¹The Centre for Addiction Research, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. ²The School of Population Health, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ³National Institute for Health Innovation, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ⁴The School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, New Zealand ⁵Community Alcohol and Drug Services, Waitemata District Health Board, Auckland, New Zealand

Keywords: Cannabis; Tobacco; Varenicline; Pharmacotherapy; Nicotinic Receptor; Case Series

Introduction

Cannabis is the most commonly used illicit drug of abuse in New Zealand (NZ); in 2008 15% of those aged 16-64 years of age reported having used it in the past 12 months [1]. Long term cannabis use can be associated with the development of dependence, which is manifest by a withdrawal syndrome on cessation [3]. During withdrawal, relapse to cannabis use is common as it relieves the symptoms of withdrawal [3]. e association between cannabis use and mental health problems (in particular anxiety, depression, and schizophrenia) and a number of other adverse life outcomes (such as poor educational outcomes and life satisfaction) has been reported[6]. Chronic use of cannabis also has adverse e ects on pulmonary and cardiac healt[7] [Survey evidence shows that frequent cannabis users (who do not smoke tobacco) are more likely to experience many of the respiratory problems that chronic tobacco smokers experience [8].

Many tobacco users also misuse cannabis, viaced vers [49,10]. While there are di erences in the way people use both substances (some roll their own tobacco and cannabis 'cigarettes', others make 'joints' comprising of only cannabis), the concurrent use of cannabis and tobacco can add to the health burden experienced by such individuals, and may increase the potential for substance-induced harm over and above that caused by using one substance on its 90 mm [].[erefore, an intervention that can reduce the harm caused by both substances could be highly cost-e ective, and would have immediate and long-term health bene ts for individuals, irrespective of their age or current state of health.

Varenicline has demonstrated e cacy as a smoking cessation aid [11]. It is a partial agonist at the $_2$

Citation: Newcombe DAL, Walker N, Sheridan J, Galea S (2015) The Effect of Varenicline Administration on Cannabis and Tobacco Use in Cannabis and Nicotine Dependent Individuals – A Case-Series. J Addict Res Ther 6: 222. doi: 10.4172/2155-6105.1000222

Page 2 of 5

be signi cantly more e ective than placebo in reducing the severity of cannabis w-3(a $0 \ 0 \ 9$)242 kbe signi c

Citation: Newcombe DAL, Walker N, Sheridan J, Galea S (2015) The Effect of Varenicline Administration on Cannabis and Tobacco Use in Cannabis and Nicotine Dependent Individuals – A Case-Series. J Addict Res Ther 6: 222. doi: 10.4172/2155-6105.1000222 quit smoking tobacco, but not cannabis, and so there was no external pressure to alter their use of the latter. Furthermore, all participants were enrolled in the Auckland Methadone Service and attended CADS for regular outpatient treatment, but did not report receiving any current treatment for tobacco or cannabis use. Cannabis use is common among methadone maintained patien²⁵[but has been found to have no measurable e ect on treatment outcon²⁶]. Scavone and colleagues²⁷] reported that while cannabis use was relatively high during induction onto methadone, it dropped signi cantly following stabilisation. Participants in this study were not undergoing methadone induction and so therefore it is unlikely that the reported reductions in cannabis use could be attributed to the e ect of methadone treatment.

e nding that all participants stopped taking varenicline prior to completion of the 12 week course of the treatment is disappointing. Participants reported a variety of side e ects whilst taking varenicline. Headache and vomiting, feeling at, feeling nauseated and vomiting, and feelings of anger and being short tempered were attributed to taking the drug by four participants. None of these reports of adverse e ects is surprising. Data from clinical trials show that gastrointestinal symptoms (commonly nausea) and fatigue where amongst the most common side e ects reported (occurring at the rate of 1%, and incidence higher than placebo2 d. More recently, varenicline has been implicated in violence towards others, which may manifest as anger 28, but there are few other reports of this e ect.

Conclusion

Findings from theseases suggest a link between the administration of varenicline and a reduction in the enjoyment experienced from using cannabis and reduction in the amount of cannabis used. We postulate that the probable mechanism responsible for this e ect is the action of varenicline at the nAChR, which is a common receptor target for both varenicline and THC. Indeed thenAChR has been implicated, through in vivo animal studies, as a possible target for pharmacological agents to treat cannabis abuse [17]. As far as the authors are aware, these results are the rst to demonstrate this phenomenon in humans, but there is a need for larger controlled studies to more de nitively demonstrate this phenomenon. ese future studies will also need to monitor the incidence of side e ects, in particular nausea that might a ect induction onto varenicline and ultimately success of using this drug for this purpose. Furthermore, alcohol is also known to target nAChR s 29. Recent early human trials have shown that varenicline reduces alcohol craving, and the rewarding e ects and quantity of alcohol consumed30-32, and therefore alcohol consumption should also be monitored.

Given that many tobacco smokers also smoke canna(d) [we argue that the availability of a therapeutic intervention, such as varenicline, that has the potential to target the misuse of both substances would confer signi canhealth bene ts for these individuals.

Acknowledgements

Page 5 of 5

- 26. Epstein DH, Preston KL (2003) Does cannabis use predict poor outcome for KHURLQ GHSHQGHQW SDWLHQWV RQ PDLQWHQDQFH WUHDWPHQW" 3DVW ¿QGLQJV DQG PRUH evidence against. Addiction 98: 269-79.
- Scavone JL, Sterling RC, Weinstein SP, Van Bockstaele EJ (2013) Impact of cannabis use during stabilization on methadone maintenance treatment. Am J Addict 22: 344-351.
- 28. Moore TJ, Glenmullen J, Furberg CD (2010) Prescription drugs associated with J,