Theoretical Considerations for Optimal Cytoreductive Surgery Plus Hyperthermic Perioperative Chemotherapy

Domenico Sabia¹ and Paul H. Sugarbaker^{2,7}

¹Hospital Sant Joan Despí - Moisès Broggi (C.S.I.), Barcelona, Spain

²Center for Gastrointestinal Malignancies, MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC, USA

*Corresponding author: Paul H. Sugarbaker, MD, FACS, FRCS, MedStar Washington Cancer Institute, 106 Irving St., NW, Suite 3900, Washington, DC 20010 USA, Tel: (202) 877-3908; Fax: (202) 877-8602; E-mail: Paul.Sugarbaker@medstar:net

Rec date: Oct 20, 2015, Acc date: Dec 3, 2015, Pub date: Dec 10, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Sabia D, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and soma

Citation: Domenico S, Paul HS (2015) Theoretical Considerations for Optimal Cytoreductive Surgery Plus Hyperthermic Perioperative Chemotherapy . J Gastrointest Dig Syst 5: 359. doi:10.4172/2161-069X.1000359

Patient-related variables:		
5 different diseases (colorectal, appendiceal, gastric, and ovarian cancer, malignant peritoneal mesothelioma)		
20+ unusual indications for CRS and HIPEC		
Prevention protocols		
Treatment protocols		
Extreme treatment protocols		
Methodologic variables:		
HIPEC vs. EPIC or HIPEC + EPIC		
No hyperthermia (<41°C) vs. moderate hyperthermia (41-43°C) vs. extreme hyperthermia (43-45°C)		
Carrier solution volume - 3L vs. 1.5 L/m ² vs. 6L		
Carrier solution type - saline vs. 1.5% dextrose PDS vs. D5W vs. lactated ringer's solution vs. dextran solutions		
Intraperitoneal irrigations – saline vs. distilled water vs. 0.75% peroxide vs. Betadine		
Volume of intraperitoneal irrigation – Extensive intraperitoneal lavage (10 L one liter at a time) vs. other		
Open vs. closed vs. Coliseum vs. Landager vs. closed then open		
Timing – 30 minutes vs. 60 minutes vs. 90 minutes vs. 180 minutes		
IP epinephrine vs. no epinephrine		
Chemotherapy solutions vs. aerosols		
Pharmacologic variables:		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Doxorubicin		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Doxorubicin 5-fluorouracil		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Doxorubicin 5-fluorouracil Melphalan		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Doxorubicin 5-fluorouracil Melphalan Gemcitabine		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Doxorubicin 5-fluorouracil Melphalan Gemcitabine Carboplatin		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Sorrubicin S-fluorouracil Melphalan Gemcitabine Carboplatin Docetaxel		
Pharmacologic variables:Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IVNaked drugs vs. nanoparticlesSingle vs. multiple drugsMitomycin COxaliplatinIrinotecanCisplatinDoxorubicin5-fluorouracilMelphalanGemcitabineCarboplatinDocetaxelPaciliaxel		
Pharmacologic variables:Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IVNaked drugs vs. nanoparticlesSingle vs. multiple drugsMitomycin COxaliplatinIrinotecanCisplatinDoxorubicin5-fluorouracilMelphalanGemcitabineCarboplatinDocetaxelPacitaxelPemetrexed		
Pharmacologic variables: Route of administration – IP vs. IP and IV Naked drugs vs. nanoparticles Single vs. multiple drugs Mitomycin C Oxaliplatin Irinotecan Cisplatin Sorourbicin S-fluorouracil Melphalan Gemcitabine Carboplatin Docetaxel Paciltaxel Pionterxed		

Table 1: Possible variables in the application of cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic perioperative chemotherapy as a treatment for peritoneal metastases

Materials and Methods

Six basic concepts that must be considered for optimal CRS and perioperative chemotherapy treatments have been selected. First, the surgical technology to achieve a complete cytoreduction needs to be incorporated into practice. Secondly, patients need to be treated at a maximal low peritoneal cancer index (PCI). ird, tumor cell entrapment, as a part of the natural history of surgically treated gastrointestinal malignancy, must be prevented. Fourth, the small volume residual disease that remain a er complete cytoreductive surgery must be reduced with mechanical removal of cancer cells by irrigation. Fi h, a maximal cancer chemotherapy response by HIPEC and/or EPIC is necessary. Finally, the benef ts of BANC used long-term must be considered (Table 2). 1. The surgical technology to achieve a complete cytoreduction needs to be incorporated into practice.

2. Patients must be treated at a maximal low peritoneal cancer index (PCI).

3. Patients must be managed to maximally avoid tumor cell entrapment.

4. Mechanical removal of cancer cells and small nodules by irrigation is mandatory.

5. Small volume residual disease requires chemotherapy treatment that will result in a maximal cancer response.

6. The benefits of bidirectional adjuvant normothermic chemotherapy (BANC) used long-term must be considered.

Table 2 Principles of management of peritoneal metastases.

Surgical Technology to Achieve a Complete Response Prior To Perioperative Chemotherapy

Cytoreductive surgery is the more powerful treatment for peritoneal metastases that must be initiated prior to the less robust treatment which is the perioperative chemotherapy. e cytoreductive surgery is a combination of peritonectomy procedures and visceral resections with a goal of no visible disease at the completion of the surgical event [15]. Table 3 lists the six most important peritonectomy procedures and itemizes the visceral resections most commonly required for complete cytoreduction.

Peritonectomy Procedures	Visceral Resection
Anterior parietal	Greater omentum
Right subphrenic	Spleen
Left subphrenic	Uterus and ovaries
Pelvic	Rectosigmoid colon
Omental bursa	Right colon
Mesenteric	Lesser omentum
Glisson's capsule	Stomach
	Small Bowel

Table 3 Surgical technology to achieve a complete response.

e perioperative chemotherapy strategies are, at this point in time, limited to HIPEC [16,17] and EPIC [18,19]. One should use HIPEC and EPIC in an attempt to preserve the surgical complete or near complete response that was achieved with the peritonectomy and visceral resections [20,21]. e perioperative chemotherapy has a goal of eradication of minimal residual disease on the surfaces of the abdomen and pelvis [22]. e goal of BANC is to prevent the progression of minimal residual disease on abdominal and pelvis surfaces long-term.

Strategies to Initiate Treatments with the Lowest Possible PCI

Proactive treatment used to obtain a low PCI

Perhaps the most meaningful e orts to utilize low PCI comes through proactive treatments initiated early in the natural history of gastrointestinal cancer [23,24]. Prophylactic (adjuvant) HIPEC used in selected patients at the time of primary cancer resection should theoretically result in treatment at the lowest PCI possible in the natural history of the patient's disease [25,26].

Table 4 lists the clinical and histopathologic variables that identify patients for prophylactic HIPEC or HIPEC plus EPIC. is treatment has been clinically evaluated for gastric cancer [27-30], pancreatic malignancy [31] and is a prominent strategy for comprehensive management of appendiceal or colorectal malignancy [32-35].

Also included in Table 4 is the predicted incidence of local recurrence and/or peritoneal metastases in colorectal cancer patients if they do not receive the prophylactic HIPEC or EPIC.

Clinical aud Histolodic Leatnue s v reS ei io iopes e ems a pm y	O Estimated Incidence of Peritoneal Metastases Observed in Follow-up (%)
1. Peritoneal nodules detected with primary cancer resection+	70
2. Ovarian metastases+	60
3. Perforation through the primary cancer (free or localized)+	50
4. Adjacent organ or structure invasion	20
5. Signet ring histology by endoscopic biopsy	20
6. Fistula formation	20
7. Obstruction of primary cancer	20
8. Positive margin of resectiono +	80
9. Positive peritoneal cytology before or after resectiono	40
10. Positive imprint cytologyo	40
11. Lymph nodes positive at or near the margin of resectiono	20
12. T3/T4 mucinous cancero	40

Table 4: Clinical and intraoperative histopathologic features of the primary colorectal cancer as an estimate of the incidence of subsequent local recurrence and/or peritoneal metastases to guide prophylactic cytoreductive surgery with perioperative chemotherapy. ^oRequires intraoperative histopathologic assessment by the pathologist who is a member of the multidisciplinary team+If HIPEC was not used with primary cancer resection, second-look with perioperative chemotherapy should be considered.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy used to induce a low PCI

A robust response (complete or near complete disease eradication) by neoadjuvant chemotherapy can better prepare a patient for CRS and HIPEC [36]. e studies of Bijelic et al. in high grade mucinous appendiceal neoplasms [37] and Glehen et al. in patients with colorectal cancer [38] suggests that a response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy is a predictor of profound beneft when CRS and HIPEC was preceded by e ective neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Neoadjuvant treatment for gastric cancer with peritoneal metastases monitored by serial laparoscopy to obtain a low PCI

Recent reports suggest that prolonged treatment of primary gastric cancer with limited peritoneal metastases with neoadjuvant intraperitoneal and systemic chemotherapy (NIPS) monitored by serial laparoscopy, can help select patients for potentially curative gastrectomy with cytoreductive surgery. e resultsupf ur S p th

EC

treatments with intravenous and intraperitoneal paclitaxel [42]. By laparoscopic monitoring 71% of patients had the disease visibly eradicated from their peritoneal surfaces Although Yamaguchi did not use HIPEC when resecting residual disease on these patients, he did report approximately 30% long term good results

Initiate CRS and HIPEC at rst diagnosis of peritoneal metastases in patients undergoing follow-up of their primary disease to keep PCI at lowest level

All too o en, when peritoneal metastases are diagnosed in patients with colorectal cancer as a site of surgical treatment failure, systemic chemotherapy is initiated and then continued for an extended time period. Although a brief treatment with systemic chemotherapy may be a judicious management plan, the use of multiple cancer chemotherapy agents over a long time period is to be avoided. Patients disrupted from peritonectomy specimens, or released from resected tumor nodules on the viscera Frequently throughout the cytoreductive surgery dissection sites should be irrigated copiously and thoroughly aspirated. is frequent irrigation is to remove blood, tissue debris and stray cancer cells. Finally, at the completion of the cytoreduction and statement on defining expectations from cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer. JSurg Oncol 110, 777-778

- 9 Nissan A, Stojadinovic A, Garofalo A, Esquivel J, Piso P (2009) Evidencebased medicine in the treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis Past, present, and future JSurgOncol 100 335-344
- 10 Sugarbaker PH (2006) New standard of care for appendiceal epithelial neoplasms and pseudomyxoma peritonei syndrome? Lancet Oncol 7: 69-76
- 11. Esquivel J, Elias D, Baratti D, Kusamura S, Deraco M (2008) Consensus statement on the loco regional treatment of colorectal cancer with peritoneal dissemination. J Surg Oncol 98: 263-267.
- 12 Deraco M, Bartlett D, Kusamura S, Baratti D (2008) Consensus statement on peritoneal mesothelioma. JSurg Oncol 98: 268-272.
- 13 González-Moreno S, González-Bayón L, Ortega-Pérez G (2012) Hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy: methodology and safety considerations Surg Oncol Clin N Am 21: 543-557.
- 14. Sugarbaker PH, Graves T, DeBruijn EA, Cunli e WJ, Mullins RE, et al. (1990) Early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy as an adjuvant therapy to surgery for peritoneal carcinomatosis from gastrointestinal cancer: pharmacological studies. Cancer Res 50, 5790-5794.
- 15 Sugarbaker PH (1995) Peritonectomy procedures. Ann Surg 221: 29-42.
- 16 Jafari MD, Halabi WJ, Stamos MJ, Nguyen VQ, Carmichael JC, et al. (2014) Surgical outcomes of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy: analysis of the american college of surgeons national surgical quality improvement program. JAMA Surg 149: 170-175.
- 17. Elias D, Goéré D, Dumont F, Honoré C, Dartigues P, et al. (2014) Role of hyperthermic intraoperative peritoneal chemotherapy in the management of peritoneal metastases Eur J Cancer 50 332-340
- 18 Sugarbaker PH, Gianola FJ, Speyer JC, Wesley R, Barofsky I, et al. (1985) Prospective, randomized trial of intravenous versus intraperitoneal)fuorouracil in patients with advanced primary colon or rectal cancer. Surgery 98: 414-422.
- 19. McConnell YJ, Mack LA, Francis WP, Ho T, Temple WJ (2013) HIPEC?+? EPIC versus HIPEC-alone di erences in major complications following cytoreduction surgery for peritoneal malignancy. J Surg Oncol 107: 591-596
- 20. Yan TD, Bijelic L, Sugarbaker PH (2007) Critical analysis of treatment failure a er complete cytoreductive surgery and perioperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy for peritoneal dissemination from appendiceal mucinous neoplasms. Ann Surg Oncol 14: 2289-2299.
- 21. Glehen O, Gilly FN, Boutitie F, Bereder JM, Quenet F, et al. (2010) Toward curative treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis from nonovarian origin by cytoreductive surgery combined with perioperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy: a multi-institutional study of 1,290 patients Cancer 116 5608-5618
- 22. Brücher

- 43 Jacquet P, Jelinek JS, Steves MA, Sugarbaker PH (1993) Evaluation of computed tomography in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis Cancer 72: 1631-1636
- 44. Low RN, Barone RM (2012) Combined di usion-weighted and gadolinium-enhanced MRI can accurately predict the peritoneal cancer index preoperatively in patients being considered for cytoreductive surgical procedures Ann Surg Oncol 19: 1394-1401.
- 45 Courcoutsakis N, Tentes AA, Astrinakis E, Zezos P, Prassopoulos P (2013) CT-Enterodysis in the preoperative assessment of the small-bowel involvement in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis, candidates for cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Abdom Imaging 38: 56-63
- 46 Pfannenberg C, Königsrainer I, 5scho P, Oksüz MO, Zieker D, et al. (2009) (18)F-FDG-PET/CT to select patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis for cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Ann Surg Oncol 16: 1295-303
- 47. Jayakrishnan TT, Zacharias AJ, Sharma A, Pappas SG, Gamblin TC, et al. (2014) Role of laparoscopy in patients with peritoneal metastases considered for cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC). World JSurg Oncol 12 270
- 48 Valle M, Federici O, Garofalo A (2012) Patient selection for cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy, and role of laparoscopy in diagnosis, staging and treatment. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 21: 515-531.
- 49. Ramos I, Crusellas O, Barrios P, Castellvi J, Fabrega F, et al. (2014) Role of laparoscopy in peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC). 107 laparoscopies performed, during 6 years, at the Catalonian peritoneal carcinomatosis program (Spain). Abstract published in 9th International Congress on Peritoneal Surface Malignancies
- 50 Verwaal V.J. van Tinteren H, van Ruth S, Zoetmulder FA (2004) Predicting the survival of patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis of colorectal origin treated by aggressive cytoreduction and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Br J.Surg 91: 739-746
- 51. Cashin PH, Graf W, Nygren P, Mahteme H (2014) Comparison of prognostic scores for patients with colorectal cancer peritoneal metastases treated with cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Ann Surg Oncol 20, 4183-4189.
- 52 Pelz JO, Stojadinovic A, Nissan A, Hohenberger W, Esquivel J (2009) Evaluation of a peritoneal surface disease severity score in patients with colon cancer with peritoneal carcinomatosis JSurg Oncol 99, 9-15
- 53 Esquivel J, Lowy AM, Markman M, Chua T, Pelz J, et al. (2014) e American Society of Peritoneal Surface Malignancies (ASPSM) Multiinstitution Evaluation of the Peritoneal Surface Disease Severity Score (PSDSS) in 1,013 Patients with Colorectal Cancer with Peritoneal Carcinomatosis Ann Surg Oncol 21: 4195-4201.
- 54 Jacquet P, Jelinek JS, Steves MA, Sugarbaker PH (1993) Evaluation of computed tomography in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis Cancer 72, 1631-1636
- 55 Rivard JD, Temple WJ, McConnell YJ, Sultan H, Mack LA (2014) Preoperative computed tomography does not predict resectability in peritoneal carcinomatosis Am J Surg 207: 760-764.
- 56 Sethna KS, Sugarbaker PH (2004) New prospects for the control of peritoneal surface dissemination of gastric cancer using perioperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Cancer erapy 2 79-84.

- 57. Harrison LE, Tiesi G, Razavi R, Wang CC (2013) A phase I trial of thermal sensitization using induced oxidative stress in the context of HIPEC. Ann Surg Oncol 20, 1843-1850.
- 58 Heald RJ, Ryall RD (1986) Recurrence and survival a er total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Lancet 1: 1479-1482.

59.