

Vtcpuetepekci" Oc i p g v k e " U v k o w n e v k q p " V t g c v o g p v " h q t " O q v q t " U { o r v q o u " k p  
R c t m k p u q p ø u " F k u g c u g < " C " T g x k g y " q h " V y q " F g e c f g u " q h " U v w f k g u

Stefano Pallanti<sup>1\*</sup> and Anna Marras<sup>2</sup>

*F U } i ç ^ ! • i c y [ - ø [ [ i ^ } & ^ , Ö ^ ] æ ! c { ^ } c [ - p ^ ~ ! [ • & i ^ } & ^ , ø [ [ i ^ } & ^ , l c æ ] y*

6

Lastly, neuroimaging studies showed a decreased activity in the supplementary motor area (SMA), dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) [14] and primary motor cortex (M1): the latter was found to be hypoactive in early PD and hyperactive in the advanced stages of the disease [15,16].

There is very little basic knowledge about the mechanisms of action of rTMS in PD, in fact remarkably different protocols have been employed: both low- and high-frequency stimulation have been investigated, as well as focal or circular coils and both motor and frontal/prefrontal targets. Since rTMS is capable to reach a 2-cm depth, subcortical structures such as the basal ganglia, cannot be directly modulated by the stimulating coil but may be modulated through functional connections with cortical areas (network effect) [17]. For instance, a series of studies showed functional changes within the basal ganglia after high-frequency rTMS over the left M1 or left DLPFC, which determined a focal release of endogenous dopamine [18-20]. This led to the development of protocols targeting three main cortical areas: the M1, SMA and DLPFC, characterized by a pathological increase or decrease in excitability during various stages of PD. Other cortical regions have been targeted (i.e. vertex, dorsal premotor cortex (PMd), occipital cortex), yet the number of studies on these targets is very limited and results have been generally inconsistent.

rTMS treatment in PD generally aims at improving motor symptoms, such as LIDs, bradykinesias and freezing of gait (FOG), though some studies also focused on non-motor symptoms, including depression and apathy [21], speech and voice [22,23] and cognitive functions [24].

An increase in cortical excitability and facilitatory effects on M1 are broadly documented after high-frequency stimulation [25,26]; by contrast, low-frequency stimulation is known to decrease cortical

					reaction time
Siebner et al., [33]	10	5 Hz – 90% RMT	2250	1	UPDRS-III
De Groot et al., [34]	9	5 Hz – 90% RMT	2250	1	UPDRS-III; movement time
Sommer et al., [35]	11	1 Hz – 120% RMT	900	3	Movement time
Okabe et al., [36]	85	0.2 Hz – 110% AMT	100	8	UPDRS-III
Khedr et al., [37]	19	5 Hz – 120% MT	2000	10	UPDRS-III
Bornke et al., [38]	12	10 Hz – 90% RMT	1000	2	UPDRS-III
Lefaucher et al.,[39]	12	0.5 / 10 Hz – 80% RMT	600 / 2000	1	UPDRS-III
Khedr et al., [40]	35	10 / 25 Hz – 100% RMT	3000	6	UPDRS-III
Lomarev et al., [41]	18	25 Hz – 100% RMT	1200	8	UPDRS-III
Khedr et al., [42]	20	25 Hz – 100% RMT	3000	6	UPDRS-III
Rektorova et al., [43]	6	.0			

Boylan et al., [54]	10	10 Hz – 96% MT	2000	1	UPDRS-III
Koch et al., [55]	8	1 / 5 Hz – 90 / 110% RMT	900	1	UPDRS-III
Brusa et al., [56]	10	1 Hz – 90% RMT	900	5	UPDRS-III
Hamada et al., [57]	99	5 Hz – 110% RMT	1000	8	UPDRS-III
Shirota et al., [58]	106	1/ 10 Hz – 110% RMT	1000	8	UPDRS-III
Sayin et al., [59]	17	1 Hz – 90% RMT	1800	10	UPDRS-III; AIMS

**Table 2** rTMS studies in PD: SMA as target area. rTMS, repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation; PD, Parkinson's Disease; SMA, Supplementary Motor Area; Hz, Hertz; RMT, resting motor threshold; MT, Motor Threshold; UPDRS (III), Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (Section III); AIMS, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale.

7 studies [41,43,53, 60-63] employed rTMS over the DLPFC and four [41,43,53,61] provided multiple targets stimulations. All studies except one [63] employed high-frequency stimulation, UPDRS-III as outcome measure and more than one session of rTMS. Only two of the multiple targets studies found some degree of improvement after rTMS treatment: cumulative benefits due to the stimulation of both

M1 and DLPFC in each session (300 pulses for each of 4 target areas: left and right M1, left and right DLPFC) were found [41], as well as UPDRS-III improvements after rTMS stimulation with a double target protocol (840 pulses on M1 and 840 pulses on PFC) [53]. The remaining studies [43,60-63] failed to find any improvements in motor function after rTMS treatment.

Study	N of patients	Stimulation parameters	N of pulses / session	N of sessions	Outcome measure
Lomarev et al., [41]	18	25 Hz – 100% RMT	1200	8	UPDRS-III
del Olmo et al., [60]	13	10 Hz – 90% RMT	450	10	UPDRS-III
Rektorova et al., [43]	6	10 Hz – 90% RMT	1350	5	UPDRS-III; FOG
Sedlackova et al., [69]	10	10 Hz – 100% RMT	1350	3	UPDRS-III
Pal et al., [62]	22	5 Hz – 90% RMT	600	10	UPDRS-III
Nardone et al., [63]	4	1 Hz – below AMT	1800	1	UPDRS-III
Spagnolo et al., [53]	27	10 Hz – 100% RMT	1680	12	UPDRS-III

**Table 3** rTMS studies in PD: DLPFC as target area. rTMS, repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation; PD, Parkinson's Disease; DLPFC, Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex; Hz, Hertz; RMT, resting motor threshold; UPDRS (III/IV), Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (Section III/IV); FOG, Freezing of Gait.

7 studies [36,61,64-68] focused on rTMS stimulation over other cortical regions apart from M1, SMA and DLPFC, although two studies [36,61] provided multiple targets stimulation including M1 and DLPFC. Only one study [61] employed high-frequency stimulation and was among the multiple targets studies. All studies except for the abovementioned one [61] delivered a low number of pulses, ranging from 30 to 100, although in repeated sessions. Three

Study	N of patients	Target area	Stimulation parameters	N of session pulses/	N of sessions	Outcome measure
Mally & Stone, [64]	49	Vertex	1 Hz – 30-60% MO	30 x 2	10	UPDRS
Mally & Stone, [65]	10	Vertex	1 Hz – 20% MT	30	20	UPDRS; GRCT
Shimamoto et al., [66]	18	Frontal	0.2 Hz – 700 V	60	8	UPDRS-III
Ikeguchi et al., [67]	12	Frontal; cortex	occipital 0.2 Hz – 70% MO	60	6	UPDRS-III ADL
Okabe et al., [36]	85	Occipital cortex	0.2 Hz – 110% RMT	100	8	UPDRS-III
Sedlackova et al., [61]	10	PMd; occipital cortex	10 Hz – 100% RMT	1350	3	UPDRS-III
Arias et al., [68]	18	Vertex	1 Hz – 90% RMT	100	10	UPDRS-III

1. Hornykiewicz O (2006) The discovery of dopamine deficiency in the parkinsonian brain. *JNeural Transm.Suppl* 70: 9-15
2. Jankovic

43. Rektorova I, Sedlackova S, Telecka S, Hlubocky A, Rektor I (2008) Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex: a possible target for modulating dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Int J Biomed Imaging* 2008:372125
44. Kim JY, Chung EJ, Lee WY, Shin HY, Lee GH, et al (2008) Therapeutic effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in Parkinson's disease: analysis of [<sup>11</sup>C] raclopride PET study. *Mov Disord* 23: 207-211.
45. Rothkegel H, Sommer M, Rammsayer T, Trenkwalder C, Paulus W (2009) Training effects outweigh effects of single-session conventional rTMS and theta burst stimulation in PD patients. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 23: 373-381.
46. Grüner U, Eggers C, Ameli M, Sarfeld AS, Fink GR, et al (2010) 1 Hz rTMS preconditioned by tDCS over the primary motor cortex in Parkinson's disease: effects on bradykinesia of arm and hand. *J Neural Transm* 117: 207-216
47. Filipovi SR, Rothwell JC, Bhatia K (2010) Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and off-phase motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease. *JNeuro Sci* 291: 1-4
48. Kodama M, Kasahara T, Hyodo M, Aono K, Sugaya M, et al (2011) Effect of low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation combined with physical therapy on L-dopa-induced painful off-period dystonia in Parkinson's disease. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 90: 150-155
49. González-García N, Armony JL, Soto J, Trejo D, Alegría MA, et al (2011) Effects of rTMS on Parkinson's disease: a longitudinal fMRI study. *J Neurol* 258: 1268-1280
50. Benninger DH, Isek K, Kranick S, Luckenbaugh DA, Houdayer E, et al (2012) Controlled study of 50-Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of Parkinson disease. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 26: 1096-1105
51. Maruo T, Hosomi K, Shimokawa T, Kishima H, Oshino S, et al (2013) High-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the primary foot motor area in Parkinson's disease. *Brain Stimul* 6: 884-891.
52. von Papen M, Fisse M, Sarfeld AS, Fink GR, Nowak DA (2014) The effects of 1 Hz rTMS preconditioned by tDCS on gait kinematics in Parkinson's disease. *JNeural Transm* 121: 743-754
53. Spagnolo F, Volonté MA, Fichera M, Chieffo R, Houdayer E, et al (2014) Excitatory deep repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation with H-coil as add-on treatment of motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease: an open label, pilot study. *Brain Stimul* 7: 297-300
54. Boylan LS, Pullman SL, Lysanby SH, Spicknall KE, Sackeim HA (2001) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to SMA worsens complex movements in Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol* 112: 259-264
55. Koch G, Brusa L, Caltagirone C, Peppe A, Oliveri M, et al (2005) rTMS of supplementary motor area modulates therapy-induced dyskinesias in Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 65: 623-625
56. Brusa L, Versace V, Koch G, Iani C, Stanzione P, et al (2006) Low frequency rTMS of the SMA transiently ameliorates peak-dose LID in Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol* 117: 1917-1921.
57. Hamada M, Ugawa Y, Tsuji S, et al (2008) High-frequency rTMS over the supplementary motor area for treatment of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 23: 1524-1531.
58. Shirota Y, Ohtsu H, Hamada M, Enomoto H, Ugawa Y, et al (2013) Supplementary motor area stimulation for Parkinson disease: a randomized controlled study. *Neurology* 80: 1400-1405
59. Sayin S, Cakmur R, Yener GG, Yaka E, U urel B, et al (2014) Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for dyskinesia and motor performance in Parkinson's disease. *J Clin Neurosci* 21: 1373-1376
60. del Olmo MF, Bello O, Cudeiro J (2007) Transcranial magnetic stimulation over dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol* 118: 131-139
61. Sedláčková S, Rektorová I, Srovnalová H, Rektor (2009) Effect of high frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on reaction time, clinical features and cognitive functions in patients with Parkinson's disease. *JNeural Transm* 116: 1093-1101.
62. Pal E, Nagy F, Aschermann Z, Balazs E, Kovacs N (2010) The impact of left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on depression in Parkinson's disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Mov Disord* 25: 2311-2317.
63. Nardone R, De Blasi P, Höller Y, Christova M, Tezzon F et al (2014) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation transiently reduces punning in Parkinson's disease.
64. Mally J, Stone TW (1999a) Therapeutic and "dose-dependent" effect of repetitive microelectroshock induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *JNeurosci Res* 57: 935-940
65. Mally J, Stone TW (1999b) Improvement in Parkinsonian symptoms after repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *J Neurol Sci* 162: 179-184
66. Shimamoto H, Takasaki K, Shigemori M, Imaizumi T, Ayabe M, et al (2001) Therapeutic effect and mechanism of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *JNeuro Sci* 248: III48-52
67. Ikeguchi M, Touge T, Nishiyama Y, Takeuchi H, Kuriyama S, et al (2003) Effects of successive repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor performances and brain perfusion in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *JNeuro Sci* 209: 41-46
68. Arias P, Vivas J, Grieve KL, Cudeiro J (2010) Controlled trial on the effect of 10 days low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) on motor signs in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2010:25: 1830-1838
69. Chou YH, Hickey PT, Sundman M, Song AW, Chen NK (2015) Effects of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Motor Symptoms in Parkinson Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol*.
70. Fregni F, Simon DK, Wu A, Pascual-Leone A (2005) Non-invasive brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *JNeuro Neurosurg Psychiatry* 76: 1614-1623
71. Elahi B, Elahi B, Chen R (2009) Effect of transcranial magnetic stimulation on Parkinson motor function—systematic review of controlled clinical trials. *Mov Disord* 24: 357-363
72. Vonloh M, Chen R, Kluger B (2013) Safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in Parkinson's disease: a review of the literature. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 19: 573-585
73. Baláz M, Srovnalová H, Rektorová I, Rektor I (2010) The effect of cortical repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on cognitive event-related potentials recorded in the subthalamic nucleus. *Exp Brain Res* 2010: 317-327.
74. Kuriakose M, Saha B, Castillo G, Udupa K, M ] mo n mag M

81. Sailer A, Cunic DI, Paradiso GO, Gunraj CA, Wagle-Shukla A, et al