

Weed Spectrum and Size Influence on Control in Rice Following Florpyrauxifen-Benzyl Spray-Applied and Coated On Urea

Bodie L. Cotter^{1*}, Jason K. Norsworthy², Thomas R. Butts³, Trenton L. Roberts⁴ and Andy Mauromoustakos⁵

¹Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA ²Professor and Elms Farming Chair of Weed Science, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA ³Assistant Professor and Extension Weed Scientist, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Lonoke, AR, USA ⁴Professor of Soil Fertility/Soil Testing, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA ⁵Professor, Department of Agriculture Statistics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA

Abstract

Following the commercial launch of forpyrauxifen-benzyl, complaints and concerns surrounding the of-target movement of forpyrauxifen-benzyl to soybean arose. Consequently, research was initiated to evaluate an application method to alleviate damage from of-target movement and retain weed control in rice. Field and self-contained tub experiments were conducted in 2020 and 2021 to determine if coating forpyrauxifen-benzyl or mixtures containing forpyrauxifen-benzyl on urea would provide equivalent levels of weed control as spray applications. In the tub experiment, forpyrauxifen-benzyl sprayed at 30 g ae ha⁻¹ and a mixture of forpyrauxifen-benzyl at 24 g ae ha⁻¹ plus penoxsulam at 41 g ai ha⁻¹ coated on urea resulted in 100% visible control, mortality, and no biomass production for yellow nutsedge, barnyardgrass, and á Mexperiment, coating forpyrauxifen-benzyl or the mixture containing penoxsulam visible ducksalad control than spray applications at 5 WAT. However, coated urea applications containing forpyrauxifenbenzyl were less efective than the spray and provided 50% and 76% visible California arrowhead and yellow nutsedge control, respectively. Coating either herbicide on urea resulted in 80% or less yellow nutsedge control compared to 96% or greater control following spray applications when applied to ~25-cm rice fatsedge. Coating forpyrauxifenbenzyl on urea provided comparable hemp sesbania, rice fatsedge, and ducksalad control a spray application. Adding penoxsula@dtbefoByraNøifswdorthrzyJKimpBottedTBarnRadotertasTlandVaelfownoussadage control when coated on urea. A (2024) Weed Spectrum and Size Infuence on Control in Rice Following Florpyrauxifen-Benzyl Spray-Applied and Coated On Urea. Adv Crop Sci Tech 12: 655.

Copyright: © 2024 Cotter BL, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and

K d: Application is the second se

I d_c

Rice i a a lef d g ai gl bally a d e f hem f d cive c_1 i he mid- he U i ed S a e Rice i g_1 w i he U i ed S a e i he A ka a G a d P ai i e, Mi i f i Del a, G lf C a, a d he Sac ame Valley f Calif, ia (USDA-ERS 2022). A f 2021, sice i ec d ybea i A ka a c f f d c i i f la ed hec a e a d ve all f d c i val e (USDA-NASS 2022). I 2021, A ka a sice f d ce ha ve ed a f i ma ely 483,000 hec a f i ce, val ed a ve \$1 billi (USDA-NASS 2022) [1].

C liva i g ice i cl de ma y differe $i_{1,1}$ iga i y em; he w m c mm $a_{1,e}$ d' $i_{1,1}$ iga ed a d f $i_{1,1}$ w' $i_{1,1}$ iga ed. I 2020, if i ima ely 83% f ice g w i Arka a wa d' $i_{1,1}$ iga ed, wi h c ve i al levee c c ced mai ai di g h gh he ea , a d he remai i g ice wa f $i_{1,1}$ w' $i_{1,1}$ iga ed (Hardke 2021). E vir me al a d il c di crea ed by di g ice ca be c d cive he g whad ref d ci f a aica d emia aic weed (Smih 1988). While ded c di crea e a c d cive e vir me f g wi g ice, weed ca al i h de he ame c di i I i al d e abli hme cc a a 5-7.5-cm de h whe ice begi iller i g (f - ve-leaf g wh age) a d i mai ai ed il harve (He ry e al. 2018). Addi i ally, d de h ca be i crea ed 10 15 cm a mid ea aid di ea e eed ge mi a i , e abli hme , revival, a d g wh [2,3].

Acc di g a vey f A ka a lice weed i e i 2020,

ba, ya, dg, a sa d Cy e, f. [, ice a edge, yell w edge, mall we, mb, ella edge (C d L), a d whi e-ma, gi ed a edge (C a c Mc.) we ide i ed a he m be all (2022) a cia ed yield l e all 2022). Addi i ally, B e al. (2022) a cia ed yield l e f 505 959 kg ha⁻¹ wi h i e, fe, e ce ca ed by he i e ce f ba, ya, dg, a sh h gh ba, ya, dg, a sa d, ice a edge a, ef, blema ic weed i ded, ice, a, ge i g a malle, m e fav, able weed ize c ld aid ve, c 1 [4].

Weed ize a he ime f \mathfrak{q} lica i ca al i e ce herbicide efficacy. I a e \mathfrak{q} erime c d ced by Seller e al. (2009), herbicide efficacy decrea ed a d gfe el [*E a ca* (Lam.) Small e Prer & Bri] heigh i crea ed fr m 36-154-cm, regardle f ra e a d mi re frict \mathfrak{q} yr, \mathfrak{q} yr, 2,4-D ami e, a d dicamba. Similarly, bi \mathfrak{q} yr, ibac-di m, fe \mathfrak{q} r \mathfrak{q} -e hyl + e h y If r, a d \mathfrak{q} e lam + cyhal f \mathfrak{q} were le seffective a c r lli g eigh -

Page 2 of 7

leaf ba, ya, dg, a c m a, ed f ,-leaf ba, ya, dg, a (Cha ha a d Ab gh 2012). La e, he, bicide \mathfrak{a} lica i imi g ca be le efficaci d e la, ge, weed ize a he ime f \mathfrak{a} lica i [5]. Rega, dle s f he , a e, gly h a e , vided le c , l f hem e ba ia a mid a d la e eme, ge ce \mathfrak{a} lica i imi g c m a, ed ea, ly eme, ge ce (J, da e al. 1997).

A effec ive $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}}$ e d het bicide \mathbf{P} i i eeded wih ded tice e vit me c d cive gt wig $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}}$ blema ic weed ch a bat yat dgt a s a d C

Page 3 of 7

3.8-m la ed i , ice a d 3.8-m ba, e g, d [11]. S il e , e, i 2020, wa a Dewi il l am c i i g f27% a d, 54% il, a d 19% clay wi h 1.8% , ga ic ma e, S il e , e, i 2021, wa a Call way il l am c i i g f 1% a d, 83% il, a d 16% clay wi h 2.3% ga ic ma e, Q izal f , e i a , ice c l iva, 'PVL01' (P, vi ja* ech l gy, BASF, Fl , ham Pa, K, NJ 07932) wa la ed i 3, w a 72 eed e, me e, f he, w wi h 76-cm, w aci g A il 10, 2020, a d May 14, 2021. Rice wa la ed wi h 76-cm, w aci g m e addi i al weed g, w h be wee he, ice, w All 1 s eceived at eeme, ge ce at lica i f c maz e (C mma d 3ME.

† m e addi i al weed g, wh be wee he ice, w. All **†** i received a**†** reemerge ce **a†** lica i f cl maz e (C mma d 3ME, FMC, Philade**†** hia, PA 19104) a 336 g ai ha⁻¹ a **†** la i g mi imize he emerge ce f g, a weed. Pl were mai ai ed g, a free i g **†** emerge ce **a†** lica i **†** izal f **†** (Pr vi ja^{*}, BASF, Fl ham Park, NJ 07932) whe ece a_{st} y [12].

B h e e e ime we e c d c ed a a a d mized c m le e bl ck de ig wih a w fac fac i al e a me c re a d h e e i e lica i wih he w fac bei ghe bicide a de lica i me h d. e fac fhe bicide i cl ded w he bicide : fy a ife be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ a d a mi re f fy a ife -be zyl 1 e lam a 24 a d 41 g ae/ai ha⁻¹, e e cively. e e c d fac f he at lica i me h d i cl ded he bicide bei g a ved at lied a d c a ed rea. Fl fy a ife -be zyl a d fy a ife -be zyl 1 e lam we e c a ed 317 kg ha⁻¹ rea a he af reme i ed ra e e c a i g c e wa a de c ibed i he evi e e e ime . Each bay wa mea ed de e mi e he he bicide-c a ed fe ilizer e a i g he af reme i ed ra e Addi i ally, rea ed rea a 317 kg ha^{-1140 L317 kg ha}

A w -wayi e_1 ac i be wee **a** i lica i meh da dhe bicide wa b e_1 ved f $_1$ b h ba ya $_1$ dg $_1$ a di ve bi ma a dm ali y. A **f** evi $_1$ y a edi Table 2, **f** y a ife -be zyl a 30 gae ha⁻¹ e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl, b h e_1 ay**f** lied a d he mi $_1$ e f **f** y a ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ d bei g 0%, a d ba ya dg a m ali y bei g 100%. H weve, failed ba ya dg a s c f l f ll wi g **f** y a ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ c a ed fea ca ed differe ce i fela ive bi ma a d m ali y be wee he bicide a d **f** lica i meh d. Si ce all c mbi a i f fac **f** y vided 100% yell w edge a d hem e ba ia c f fac **f** y a ife -be zyl w e ly b e ved f ba ya dg a where **f** y a ife -be zyl wa le effec ive a c li g he weed **f** ecie [17].

Overall, rela ive ba, yardgra bi ma fll wi ga i gle fray Overall, rela ive ba, yardgra bi ma fll wi ga i gle fray i lica i f yra ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ wa 87% f he rea ed rela ive bar yardgra m ali y wa berved c mared he rela ive bi ma Where here wa l were rela ive bar yardgra bi ma a era i lyi g yra ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ c a ed higher bar yardgra m ali y cc red. A lack f bar yardgra c r lre ledi bar yardgra m ali y bei g 24% whe c mbi ed be wee 2020 a d 2021. Si ce yra ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ c a ed rea ligh ly decrea ed bar yardgra tela ive bi ma a d m ali y, here l i dica ed ha he herbicide c a ed rea had me ac ivi y bar yardgra b wa limi ed. Bar yardgra i c rre ly li eda ac r lled rea (A ym 2021). He ce, yra ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ al e c a ed rea h ld be effected c r l bar yardgra effectively. Eve h gh yra ife -be zyl al ec a ed rea failed from wide ade a e c r l fbar yardgra si fra bar yardgra si fra b g ae ha⁻¹ a d \mathfrak{P} e lam a 41 g ai ha⁻¹ \mathfrak{P} , vided \mathfrak{P} , mi i g e l he \mathfrak{P} c , l he \mathfrak{P} , blema ic weed while ilizi g he ew a \mathfrak{P} lica i me h d.

Ca, a, a, -b, a (10c), c, a, d

While ly 3 e, ce age i g a, a ed he am f, ice a edge la 4 a d5 WAT, refectively, a ig i ca i e, ac i be wee f lica i me h d wa b e, ved. Whe f, ayed rea me c ai i g f y, a ife -be zyl wa f lied, rice a edge wa 100% c r lled a 4 a d 5 WAT. Whe f y, a ife -be zyl wa c a ed rea a d f lied, ba, ya, dg, a c r lwa 97% a 4 a d 5 WAT. Al h gh a i ically differe, b h f lica i me h d ffered high level f, ice a edge c r la 4 a d 5 WAT. Rice a edge c r l ra i g a rice headi gelici ed a w -wayi e, ac i be wee he, bicide a d f lica i me h d where f y, a ife -be zyl c a ed rea r vided he l we (90%) d ck alad c r l c m a, ed whe he he, bicide wa r ayed r mi ed wi h e lam i ei he, af lica i me h d. Per Miller a d N r w hy (2018), r ayed f yra ife be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ vided 94% c r l frice a edge, b h af lica i me h d r vided c m a, able r l r viev r e ea, ch [18].

Af lica i me h d wa he ly fac ha elici ed differe ce i Calif i a a_{11} whead c la he ice headi g a i g imi g. S aved f lica i c ai i g y a ife be zyl f vided 31 e ce age i g a e c l f Calif i a an whead ha whe a y he bicide rea me wa c a ed rea. A rice headi g, aved f lica i f y a ife be zyl vided 81% Calif i a an whead c l, while c ai g he he bicide rea ly vided 80% c l. H wever, a f ie effec f f lica i me h d cc red f d ck alad c l. Whe f y a ife be zyl a a mi re f y a ife be zyl a df e lam wa c a ed rea, d ck alad wa c led 19 e ce age i grea e ha rayed f lica i a 5 WAT, achievi g 94% vi ble d ck alad c li i level f d ck alad c li c ma able reach by R m (2020), where d ck alad c li c ma able reach by R m (2020), where d ck alad c li c f y a ife be zyl a f be cy a f be cyl c ai i g c a ed rea rea me all wed grea e am f herbicide bec me f e ded i he d, hi de i g d ck alad g w h a hi re d f lica i which i vere f wha wa e e ced.

H weve, d ck alad a d Calif, ia a_{11} whead a_{12} e a ic weed ha ge, mi a ed la e_{1}^{S} ha h e a het e d imi g. Type ically, c, e_{1}^{S}

	Barnyardgrass			

 $\mathfrak{L}e_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathfrak{e}}$ i $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{L}}c\mathfrak{P}$ i $\mathfrak{d}e_{\mathfrak{L}}e\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ il ac ivi y $\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{L}}eeme_{\mathfrak{L}}ge$ ce $\mathfrak{h}e_{\mathfrak{L}}bicide_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathfrak{s}}$

C c ___

Fi di g f m he e e e ime i dica e ha c a i g y a ife -be zyl a 30 g ae ha⁻¹ a mi e f y a ife be zyl a 24 g ae ha⁻¹ l e lama 41 g ai ha⁻¹ e aha val ea a al e a ive at lica i c l i ce weed Pe d at lica i f ei he he bicide reame c a ed reat vided c l f ice a edge, hent e ba ia, a d d ck alad c m a able av at lica i like e l d d ced by Mille a d N w hy (2018). Simila ly, addi g e lam y a ife -be zyl vided a addi i al he bicide i e-f-ac i c l ce ible ba ya dg a acce i whe c a ed rea. H weve, y y a ife -be zyl e c a ed rea did ade a ely c l ba ya dg a i dica i g he eed f ve lati i g ea ly ea re id al he bicide with hi at lica i i . C i e , effec ive yell w edge c l h ld be e e ce df ll wi g he at lica i f y a ife be zyl c a ed rea, e e cially a weed i crea e i ize (~25 cm). I i rec mme ded ha y a ife -be zyl c a ed rea be at lica i cc bef e di g, y a ife -be zyl h ld be eval a ed a h. e fe ilize c ld be m re ea ily at lied d (A ym 2021). et f e d rec mme da i h ld i cl de at lica i a he re- d imi g a ma y ae ial N at lica i cc bef e di g, y a ife -be zyl h ld be eval a ed a h. e fe ilize c ld be m re ea ily at lied d, b differe e l may be e ec ed. e e l f m hi re ea ch lead he c cl i ha ca i g y a ife -be zyl a mi re f y a ife -be zyl a dt e lam rea a labeled a e ffer e i alf c l f m eweed eval a ed here b likely f vide c i e, effecive c l f ba ya dg a si Weed e ec, m a d ize will la gely im ac whe here at lica i f y a ife -be zyl c a ed rea are cce f l. Addi i al re ea ch h ld be c d c ed eval a e he ff- arge m veme b likely f vide c i e a are cce f l. Addi i al re ea ch h ld be c d c ed eval a e he ff- arge m veme b e ial f h i at lica i me h d.

Ac d

 $i_{t}e_{eat}ch$ wa c d c ed i c $e_{t}ai$ with C t eva

Ag₄ i cie ce. C₁ eva \P_1 vided \P_a_1 ial re earch f di g a d \P_1 yra ife -be zyl. Addi i al f di g f hi re earch wa \P_1 vided by he Arka a Rice Check ff Pr gram admi i ered by he Arka a Rice Re earch a d Pr m i B ard. La ly, facili ie a d e \P me were r vided by he U iver i y f Arka a Sy em Divi i f Agric l re. N c ic fi ere have bee declared.