I dci

From at least 5000 BC, periodontal disorders have been recognised and treated. e apparent disparities in how periodontal diseases manifest have long been acknowledged by clinicians, who have made an e ort to categorise these illnesses. Aetiology, pathophysiology, and treatment of diseases can now be identi ed using structures developed by physicians using disease classi cation systems that have emerged. It enables us to plan e cient care for the illnesses of our patients. e cause of the problem and the best evidence-based treatment are suggested to the practitioner when a disease has been identi ed and characterised. Health care providers can communicate e ectively utilising a common language because to shared classi cation systems. Early classi cation attempts were based on the clinical features of the diseases or beliefs regarding their origin. ere was no evidence to back up their attempts.

e foundation of classi cation was established by conventional pathology as scienti c understanding grew. Systems of classi cation based on our understanding of the numerous periodontal diseases and the host response to them have since followed this. Periodontal disease stage) and management of the disease on a more rational, less empirical foundation, more sensitive and accurate "measurable biological indicators" of periodontal diseases are required. Modern "omics" technologies might make this mission possible. While proteomic technologies allowed us to understand the molecular state of the host in disease and the interactive cross-talk of the host with the microbiome,