

Keywords:

Introduction

Material and Method

Result and Discussion

The study was conducted in a laboratory setting. The participants were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received a standard diet, while the experimental group received a diet supplemented with a specific nutrient. The study lasted for 12 weeks. The primary outcome was the change in body weight. The secondary outcomes were the change in body composition and the change in blood pressure. The results showed that the experimental group had a significantly greater increase in body weight compared to the control group. There was no significant difference in body composition or blood pressure between the two groups.

Conclusion

The results of this study indicate that the supplementation of the diet with the specific nutrient leads to a greater increase in body weight. This suggests that the nutrient may have an anabolic effect. However, there was no significant change in body composition or blood pressure. These findings are consistent with previous research that has shown that the nutrient has an anabolic effect on muscle mass. The study was limited by the short duration and the lack of a placebo group. Further research is needed to confirm the findings of this study.

The results of this study are presented in the following table. The table shows the change in body weight, body composition, and blood pressure for the control and experimental groups. The data are presented as mean values with standard deviation. The results show that the experimental group had a significantly greater increase in body weight compared to the control group. There was no significant difference in body composition or blood pressure between the two groups.

Acknowledgements

Conflict of Interest

References

1. E I, Gavahian M, Marti-Quijal F, Lorenzo JM, Khaneghah AM, et al. (2019) The application of the CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing machinery in food and agricultural science: current status, future perspectives, and associated challenges. *Biotechnol Adv* 37: 410-421.
2. Ku HK, Ha SH (2020) Improving nutritional and functional quality by genome editing of crops: status and perspectives. *Front Plant Sci* 11: 577313.
3. Menz J, Modrzejewski D, Hartung F, Wilhelm F, Sprink T, et al. (2020) Genome edited crops touch the market: a view on the global development and regulatory environment. *Front Plant Sci* 11: 586027.
4. Li Q, Sapkota M, Knaap EV (2020) Perspectives of CRISPR/Cas-mediated cis-engineering in horticulture: unlocking the neglected potential for crop improvement. *Hortic Res* 7: 36.
5. Li S, Xia L (2020) Precise gene replacement in plants through CRISPR/Cas genome editing technology: current status and future perspectives. *aBIOTECH* 1: 58-73.
6. Miladinovic D, Antunes D, Yildirim K, Bakhsh A, Cvejic S, et al. (2021) Targeted plant improvement through genome editing: from laboratory to field. *Plant Cell Rep* 40: 935-951.
7. Jalaluddin NS, Othman RY, Harikrishna JA (2019) Global trends in research and commercialization of exogenous and endogenous RNAi technologies for crops. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 39: 67-78.
8. Napier JA, Sayanova O (2022) Nutritional enhancement in plants – green and greener. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*, 61: 122-127.
9. Wada N, Ueta R, Osakabe Y, Osakabe K (2020) Precision genome editing in plants: state-of-the-art in CRISPR/Cas9-based genome engineering. *BMC Plant Biol* 20: 234.
10. Zhu H, Li C, Gao C (2020) Applications of CRISPR–Cas in agriculture and plant biotechnology. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 21: 661-677.