Fkurncegf"Kuqncvgf"Ewdqkf"Htcevwtgu

Department of Surgery, Orthopedic Traumatologist, University of Calgary, Canada

* Richard Buckley, Department of Surgery, Orthopedic Traumatologist, University of Calgary, Canada, Tel: 403 944 8371; E-mail: buckclin@ucalgary.ca

Dec 31, 2015; Feb 12, 2016; Feb 22, 2016

©2016 Buckley R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Commentary

 $e\ cuboid\ bone\ is\ injured\ very\ rarely,\ but\ fracture\ dislocations\ can lead\ to\ s][n]\ cht\ disruption\ of\ normal\ foot\ mechanics\ and\ motion.$

e cuboid fracture is frequently associated with other midfoot fractures and when a fracture of the midfoot (Lis-franc fracture) occurs, an accompanying cuboid fracture should always be closely evaluated for [1].

As the cuboid is o en part of a midfoot fracture-dislocation, fractures that are commonly seen involve avulsions, "nutcracker" type fractures [2] and cuboid fractures associated with Lis-franc injuries (Figures 1a and 1b).

Figure 1a: Anterior Posterior of fracture of cuboid and lateral rays.

are then taken out at 6 weeks ere are no large trials concluding the most e ect]ve means of cuboid xUt]on" e literature supports open reduction with Steinman pin temporary xUt]on (6 weeks) [2].

Is is a d] cu't area for randomized controlled trials yet outcome studies show that this fracture can do well with accurate reconstruction [3]. ere seems to be much more problems with too much hardware and st] ness on the lateral column of the foot as compared to less xUt]on and good foot mechanics with mobility through the calcaneo-cuboid joint and cuboid metatarsal joints

Accurate reduction and stable xUf on seems to be best for both s][n] cLht midfoot injuries and isolated cuboid fractures. Evidence supports cases where the cuboid is reduced with minimal pin xUf on

Cpp°MnnodArmaM

and managed non weight bearing followed by pin removal and full mobilization with no hardware in place at 6 weeks.

References

- 1. Court Brown C, Zinna S, Ekrol I (2006) C'Uss] dt]on and epidemiology of mid-foot fractures e Foot 16 138 141.
- 2 van Raaij TM, Du y PJ, Buckley RE (2010) Displaced Isolated Cuboid Fractures Results of Four Cases with Operative Treatment. Foot and Ankle Int 31: 242-246
- 3 Richter M, Wippermann B, Krettek C, Schratt HE, Hufner T, et al. (2001) Fractures and Fracture Dislocations of the Midfoot, Occurences, Causes and Long-term Results Foot and Ankle Int: 22 392-398