

Two Sample Median Tests by Ranks

  2*

¹Department of Applied Statistics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

²Department of Industrial Mathematics and Applied Statistics, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria

A

This paper proposes a two sample median test based on the ranks of sample observations drawn from two independent populations, for testing the null hypothesis of equality of two population medians. The populations may be measurements on, as low as the ordinal scale. It is shown that the proposed test statistic is at least as efficient and powerful as the Mann-Whitney U-Test of the same, over all sample size. When the two samples are of equal size, the proposed test statistic may also be used as an improved alternative to the sign test for two independent samples of equal size. These methods are illustrated with some data, and shown to compare favorably with the ordinary sign-test, the median test and Mann-Whitney U-Test for two independent samples.

Keywords: Mann-Whitney U-Test; Ranks; Two sample; Median; Population

Introduction

The median test is a statistical procedure for testing whether two independent populations differ in their measure of central tendency or location. This median test enables us determine, whether it is likely that two independent or unrelated samples not necessarily of the same size have been drawn from two populations with equal medians.

The median test may be used whenever the observations or scores obtained from the two populations are at least on the ordinal scale of measurement. In these situations, the assumptions of normality and homogeneity necessary for the valid use of the parametric t test may not be satisfied so that parametric tests may not here readily recommend themselves [1].

However, a problem with the median test is that it is based on only the sign or direction of the observations and not on their magnitudes, thereby leading to some loss of information. A procedure that would use both the direction and magnitudes of the observations is likely to be more powerful, and hence, preferable. We propose to develop a procedure in this paper based on the Kruskal Wallis-One way analysis of variance test by ranks [2].

The Proposed Method

Let x_{ij} be the i th observation in a random sample of size n_j independently drawn from population j for $i=1,2,\dots,n_j; j=1,2$. We assume that the two populations are measured on at least the ordinal scale. To apply the two sample median test by ranks, we first pool the two samples into one combined sample of size n ; $j=1,2; n_1+n_2$.

The observations in the pooled sample are now ranked, either from the largest to the smallest, or from the smallest to the largest. Now under the hypothesis of equal population medians, then in the absence of ties, any one randomly selected observation in the combined sample is as likely to be greater as less than any other observation in the sample, and hence, is equally likely to receive any one of the ranks assigned to the observations, thereby justifying the use of the median ranks test for two populations. Let

$$R_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} r_{ij}$$

Be the sum of the ranks assigned to observations drawn from population j for $j=1,2$, with mean rank

$$\bar{r}_{.j} = \frac{R_j}{n_j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} r_{ij}}{n_j}$$

the overall mean rank is

$$\bar{r}_{..} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 n_j \bar{r}_{.j}}{n} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 R_j}{n}$$

$$S_{ob}^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 n_j (\bar{r}_{.j} - \bar{r}_{..})^2}{n-1}$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

$$Q = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n-1)$$

© 2013 Oyeka ICA, Okeh UM (2013) Two Sample Median Tests by Ranks. 2: 636 doi:10.4172/scientificreports.636
 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



grade A assigning it a rank of 1, through the lowest grade F assign it the rank of 25. Tied grades are as usual assigned their mean

Results

The results are shown in the second and fourth columns of table 1. Using the rank sums shown in table 1 with $n_1 = 14$ in equation 8, we have

Couple(i)	Husband (x_{i1})	Rank (r_{i1})	Wife (x_{i2})	Rank (r_{i2})	Diff. $d_i = x_{i1} - x_{i2}$	Sign of d_i
1	5	17.5	9	23.5	4	
2	0	2.5	3	13	3	
3	3	13	2	8.5	1	+
4	3	13	3	13	0	0
5	7	20.5	9	23.5	2	
6	0	2.5	0	2.5	0	0
7	8	22	5	17.5	3	+
8	2	8.5	2	8.5	0	0
9	1	5.5	7	20.5	6	
10	5	17.5	5	17.5	0	0
11	2	8.5	3	13	1	
12	0	2.5	1	5.5	1	
Total		133.5 (R_1)		166.5		

Family size preferences by a random sample of newly married couples.

n=8 possible + and signs, with a probability of P=0.5 of occurrence, thereby obtaining $P(X \geq 2) = \sum_{x=0}^8 \binom{8}{x} (0.5)^8 = (1 + 8 + 28 + 56 + 35 + 8 + 1)(0.0039) = 0.1443$.

Since